

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_92694
Title of the Manuscript:	Middel ear adenoma : case repport
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have written a manuscript titled "Middel ear adenoma : case report". No doubt, the topic is interesting still I feel incorporation of suggested comments will help in improving the quality of the paper. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Formatting is not upto the mark. please rectify. 2. Lot of grammatical errors are present. Please correct. 3. Abstract can be rewritten adding need, work done, results obtained and significance of the work. 4. Introduction can be rewritten by focussing more on need of the work. Also add the outline of the work like section 1 deals with.. section 2 discusses.... 5. Work can be discussed in details in case presentation. 6. Results need to be discussed in details in discussion. 7. Conclusion can be rewritten focussing more on work done, results obtained, limitations of the work and the future scope of the work. 8. More references needs to be added. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please incorporate the suggested comments to improve the quality of the paper.	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Dabass
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Technology, India