

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_90488
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of laparoscopic retroperitoneal pyelolithotomy in the management of large renal calculi: A retrospective study of 45 cases in an Indian rural tertiary care center
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>A good article. Authors have clearly defined their study. The article should be published without any major changes.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The reference numbers in the text should be placed after the full stop (.) at the end of the sentence as per the Vancouver style.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prasan Kumar Hota
Department, University & Country	KNR University of Health Sciences, India