

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_90488
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of laparoscopic retroperitoneal pyelolithotomy in the management of large renal calculi: A retrospective study of 45 cases in an Indian rural tertiary care center
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	None	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	None	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Excellent review of cases over a 6 year period. This study provides validation that laparoscopic retroperitoneal pyelolithotomy is a safe and effective treatment option for appropriately selected patients with large kidney stones.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher Hartman
Department, University & Country	The Smith Institute for Urology, Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine, USA