

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_92187
Title of the Manuscript:	Glucose -6-Phosphate Dehydrogenase (G-6-PD) Status and Kidney Functions Evaluation in Patients with Acute Kidney Injury in Two Tertiary Hospitals, Sokoto
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Testing for G6PD activity is available. However, during and immediately after a hemolytic episode, tests may yield false-negative results because of destruction of the older, more deficient RBCs and the production of reticulocytes, which are rich in G6PD. Thus, testing may need to be repeated several weeks after the acute event. Several screening tests are available, including point-of-care tests; positive results should be confirmed with a quantitative test. Please emphasize the test methods you used more in detail may be more attractive.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency leading to acute intravascular haemolysis and acute kidney injury (AKI) is a known clinical presentation. Avoidance of triggers, removal of offending drug or agent, and supportive care. During acute hemolysis, treatment is supportive; transfusions are rarely needed. Patients are advised to avoid drugs or substances that initiate hemolysis.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ing-Fang Yang
Department, University & Country	Jen-Chi General Hospital, Taipei Medical University, Taiwan