

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_91554
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE AND SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PREDICTORS OF DOMESTIC ACCIDENTS AMONG CHILDREN IN KHANA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lac k of N o velt y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research used data for the respective treatments. Public health data. In this sense, it is imperative that you have authorization for the collection, processing of data and dissemination. The security of personal data and non-exposure of those involved must be preserved. In this sense, the "Approval of the Research Ethics Committee" needs to be evidenced. Registration	
Minor REVISION comments	The study is relevant for the reflection of science and technology. However, it deserves review to praise the research carried out. Such as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Few references were listed. In the area of public health, there are many. There are several unreferenced paragraphs in the introduction. It would be interesting for the authors to expand the theoretical foundation (references) to further enhance the beautiful work. 2) At the end of the introduction, direct the reader to the purpose of the study. As reported at the end of the item "Statement of Problem", it would be interesting to demonstrate what the work proposes at the end of the introduction. By the way, being a scientific work, the "relevance" of the study, can be inserted in the introduction; that is, the 'statement of problem' could appear as a subdivision of the introduction. 3) There is redundancy in the information: Correct the item "Aim and Objectives of Study" to "Objectives of Study" or simply "Objectives" 4) Approval of the Research Ethics Committee 5) Research has shown excellent results. However, the conclusion fell far short of comments and future perspectives, given the relevance of everything that was done. 6) Strongly recommended that the authors explore and conclude the excellent results found. There is material to explore far beyond just 1 paragraph. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Magalhães
Department, University & Country	Institute of Technology, Brazil