

Editor's Comment:

***General comments:**

- A badly designed and written manuscript. There are many methodical and analytic drawbacks and flaws
- Needs extensive language & grammatical editing

***Abstract:**

Confusing with many repetitions & non-informative irrelevant statement

***Introduction, review, empirical review:**

Are the same section of the manuscript. All can be merged under section introduction after removal of repetitions & irrelevant statements. Many paragraphs without references.

***Long statement of the study problem**

***Hypotheses are not relevant to a descriptive (not analytic) study.**

***Methods:**

The most defective part of the study

-Very short & non-informative

-Nothing about: sample size calculation, sampling method, response rate, development & validation of the study tool, language & contents of the questionnaire, validity of study tool, approach of data collection, data analysis & ethical consideration

-The tool with likert scale does not measure. It is a mix of knowledge & attitude items.

***Data analysis:**

-Why this comparative analysis between males & females despite the descriptive design

-All tables can be merged in 1 or 2 tables

***No actual discussion. Just repetition of results**

***Recommendation:**

Not finding-based

Decision: rejection

Editor's Details:

Dr. Abdel-Hady El-Gilany
Professor, Mansoura University, Egypt.