

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_92773
Title of the Manuscript:	Direct detection of Pseudomonas aeruginosa from respiratory samples of children with cystic fibrosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Nil	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On what basis Pseudomonas was considered to be a pathogen in the sputum and DPs samples. Have you considered the criteria of grams' stain?2. Pseudomonas need not to be always a pathogen in CF cases. It can be a colonizer too. Have you tried adopting any method to rule out colonizers and including only pathogens? If yes please explain it briefly.3. PCR can pick up the dead bacterial nucleic acid material too. How can you justify that all the PCR positive samples are directly correlating with your findings among CF patients?4. It would have been really good if you have included virulence factors detection among Pseudomonas which can support your article. Have you performed any such if yes kindly mention them in your article. If not try to highlight these points in your article as advantages and limitations of the study?5. Clinical correlation of your laboratory findings can be included.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishna Karthik M V S
Department, University & Country	JSS Medical College and Hospital, JSSAHER, India