

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_90271
Title of the Manuscript:	COMMUNITY KNOWLEDGE OF DENGUE FEVER IN YUHANA ABAD, SLUM AREA OF LAHORE, PAKISTAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT I suggest forming the abstract into a compact block. The text is correct</p> <p>GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS I believe that it should restructure the document to a more appropriate format for publication. You must adjust the current job, for example: -INTRODUCTION (where I base the importance of the disease and on the knowledge that must be had about it, supported by the most current references possible). The Introduction must be short and substantiate the study problem. In the end it should reflect the objectives of the article</p> <p>RESULTS The figures must be presented in the text, previously</p> <p>REFERENCES -I propose, as far as possible, to update more the references used. -You should review the writing format of the citations, according to what is established internationally. -Internet citations must have after the URL (http:/) the date of query</p>	
Optional/General comments	It's an interesting job.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús G. Rodríguez Diego
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, México