

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_91150
Title of the Manuscript:	Serological detection of viruses infecting Okra in Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Title: The title is informativeAbstract: The abstract is adequately addressedIntroduction: The introduction is concise and clearMaterials and Methods: The Methods section is currently addressed.Results: The results are clearly presented.Discussion: The discussion is well written and adequately addressed.The manuscript is well written. the purpose, methods and results are clearly presented.Conclusion: The conclusion is very conclusive, since based on a small sample size.(Appropriate and adequate references to related works covered sufficiently in the list).	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Table: The title should be more informative. They are vital and quite well.Table is significant and well-presented.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This is well and acceptable, and it contributes to the Asian Journal of Research in Botany in a valuable way.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Bakiyaraj
Department, University & Country	Govt. Arts College, India