

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_91059
Title of the Manuscript:	Scabies in Conakry, Guinea: Epidemiological, clinical and therapeutic profiles
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrdes.com/index.php/AJRDES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>I would suggest including the operational definition for "promiscuity" given this variable has been analysed, reported, and discussed in the study. Being a variable, whose outcome has been repeatedly mentioned in the paper, it is important to include its meaning. This would clearly distinguish promiscuity from the variable "multiple sexual partners" also measured, which should be defined for clarity.</p> <p>I highly suggest including the limitations of their study in the discussion section</p> <p>I recommend adding the total number of observations analysed per variable. For instance, were vesicles seen in 274 patients which is 88.7% of the 310 cases included? So, I wonder whether all percentages reported were indeed over the total number of cases included, meaning there were no missing data at all?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	To clarify which software was used for the analysis. It was mentioned that Epi-info was used in the abstract and SPSS at the material and methods of the manuscript. In page 2, line 4, the pronoun "they" has been written twice in a row.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sylvia Aide Martinez Cabriaes
Department, University & Country	Northern Ontario of Medicine School, Canada