

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_92581
Title of the Manuscript:	Mobile-base Registration System for Blood Donation (MBRS-BD)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper stands major revision. The reviewer's points are given below. Please furnish the following points carefully and re-submit the paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Statistical results must be presented in the tabular format. 2. No result section found. Add it with various results and analysis. 3. Literature survey papers do not include their limitations, and how the proposed technique had sorted each and every limitation. Where is the research gap analysis? 4. No comparative study was given with respect to the other papers on this domain. 5. Sufficient mathematical tests were not carried out to prove the effectiveness of the proposed method. 6. No algorithm was found here. Add in details. 7. What are the different data security and authentication protocols applied here? Please mention in details. 8. Where is the sample data set of your method? Provide in the annexure section. 9. State the hardware and software requirements of your proposed methodology. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joydeep Dey
Department, University & Country	M.U.C. Women's College, India