

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_93471
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical treatment of a coronary artery fistula that has opened into the pulmonary artery
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract section needs to be written properly. This should summarize the manuscript and should include Introductions, Objectives, case presentation, discussions, and conclusion Please add an Objectives to focus on the reason this case was presented. If reason was its rarity, please state that along with the learning points.	
Minor REVISION comments	A symptomatic patient with congenital CAF associated with an aneurysm originating from the proximal LAD and draining into the PA is herein presented. Because a transcatheter intervention failed, the patient underwent cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) without coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery. This red highlighted paragraph needs to be rewritten. What the authors mean by this expression. Do they mean " Epicardial repair" , "repair using CPB on arrested heart" , " open closure and division of the fistula"???? Can the author elaborate for the reader how they identified the Fistula? Was there a palpable thrill by palpation or just visual recognition of the dilated vessels.	
Optional/General comments	Adding more details regarding the presentation, lab results, specific investigations findings add more. This need to be followed by the surgical and postoperative course.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Walid Hammad
Department, University & Country	Leeds Teaching Hospital, England