

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_91503
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro antiplasmodial and hemolytic activities of Trema orientalis, Cnestis ferruginea and Dialium dinklagei used to treat malaria in Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The abstract should not include introduction, but a summary of the results of major findings and its implication on subject matter.- The results are not well presented, the values are written with comma in place of decimal.- The results should be properly discussed, compare the effectiveness of each samples, compare your outcomes with most recent literature values (mention reported figures) and draw the implications based on findings.- Conclusion should be drawn based on results obtained, such as the effective ness of each leaf extracts that is order of activity.- The extract should be obtained separately with each solvent and fresh sample as against the chart presented- My major concern as a Chemist is the use of methanol for extraction due to its poisonous nature	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is not paged The abstract need to be recast The results in Table 1 need to be represent	
Optional/General comments	Generally, the manuscript is good. The corrections are mainly editorial But the study could be enhanced, if the statistical analysis such as ANOVA could be performed on the results for proper comparison of the performance evaluation among the leaves extracts and reference samples.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayoade Gbolahan Wahab
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria