

IMPACT OF THE DURATION OF STRESS STEPS BEFORE SLAUGHTER AND AT SLAUGHTER ON THE PHYSICO-CHEMICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF MEAT IN CAMELS

Abstract

Animals intended for slaughter are subject to a number of stress factors abattoirs which can have negative effects on their physiological functions and on the quality of their meat. In this work, an investigation of the durations of transport, unloading, driving to the slaughter room, slaughter and bleeding was carried out, and the impact of the duration of each of these stages on physico-chemical and biochemical parameters of meat was assessed in camels. The results showed that the transport, unloading, driving to slaughter, slaughter and bleeding times were respectively, 1 h-11 h, 3 min-20 min, 5 min-20 min, 4 min-20 min and 5 min-10 min. Mean exudate and cooking loss values, and carbonyl and MDA contents were significantly ($P<0.05$) higher, while CAT and SOD activities were significantly ($P<0.05$) lower when transport, unloading, driving to slaughter and slaughter times were longest. Transport, unloading, driving to the slaughterhouse and slaughter times were significantly ($P<0.05$) and positively correlated with exudation, cooking loss, MDA and carbonyls, while they were significantly ($P<0.05$) and negatively correlated with CAT and SOD activities. The durations of the stages to which camels are exposed in slaughterhouse, from transport to slaughter could induce oxidation of their meat and alter its quality.

Keywords: Preslaughter stress, Oxidative stress, Dromedary Camel, Meat composition, Morocco.

1. Introduction

Camels (*Camelus dromedarius* and *Camelus bactrianus*) play an important socio-economic role, they produce milk and meat for human populations in arid countries. World meat production reached 653,000 tonnes in 2019 [1] for an estimated camel population of 37.5 million head [2]. The handling of camels in farms, markets and slaughterhouses, the conditions of their loading into trucks, their transport, their unloading and their stabling at slaughterhouses, are potentially stressful and expose the camels to what is called "stress before slaughter" [3,4,5,6,7]. Although the welfare of farmed animals and its impact on the quality of their products has long attracted the attention of scientific researchers in developed countries [8], this research topic remains very little addressed in developing countries especially for camels.

In addition, when handled, farm animals face several potential welfare issues during and after transport, including deprivation of water and food for long periods, fatigue, injuries, diseases, mixing of different species, accelerations, vibrations, noise, space constraints, road topography, vehicle design, air pollutants and environmental conditions [9,10,11,12].

Thus, in the context of animal production, taking well-being into account is much more essential to the health of consumers, breeders and those involved in the production and trade of animal products [13,14]. The quality of carcasses and meat is affected by inappropriate antemortem handling, during loading, transport, unloading, waiting and slaughter of animals [15,16], whose durations are able to modify the quality of their meat [17]. Thus, at the end of all these pre-slaughter stress stages, the animals are kept in the lairage area before their slaughter to rest and restore their homeostasis[18]. However, if kept for a long period without access to water and food in uncomfortable enclosures, the spoilage of the meat quality of these animals will be increased [16,19,20]. To our knowledge and so far, no work has studied

Comment [EB1]: Rewrite statement for clarity

Comment [EB2]: This section isn't clear

51 the effect of the duration of different preslaughter stages on the biochemical composition of
52 meat in the dromedary camel. Thus, the objective of this work was to investigate possible
53 correlations between durations of transport, unloading, driving to the slaughter room,
54 slaughtering and bleeding, and the physicochemical and biochemical parameters of meat in
55 the dromedary camel.

56 2. Materials and Methods

57 2.1. Animals and survey

61 Our study was conducted on 53 male dromedaries (*Camelus dromedarius*) in good health,
62 aged 2 to 7 years, weighing from 125 to 370 kg and having been subjected to a semi-
63 extensive breeding method. These animals were intended for slaughter at the municipal
64 slaughterhouse in Casablanca, Morocco. In order to assess the duration of the different stages
65 of pre-slaughter stress from the arrival of camels at the slaughterhouse until bleeding, a
66 survey was carried out during the hot season. This survey focused on the duration of
67 transport, unloading, driving to the slaughter room, slaughtering and bleeding. Possible
68 correlations between these factors and the physicochemical and biochemical parameters of the
69 meat were researched.

70 2.2 Chemicals

71 2.2. Collection of meat samples

75 After the animals were slaughtered around 7 a.m., followed by a veterinary inspection, meat
76 samples (*oblique muscle*) were taken around 10 a.m. using a sharp knife at a depth varying
77 from 2 to 3 cm. These samples were transported at 4°C for 15 min, in a cooler from the
78 slaughterhouse to the physiology and molecular genetics laboratory of Ben M'Sik faculty of
79 sciences in Casablanca. The samples were kept at 4°C until 24 hours *postmortem*, then
80 were divided into 4 portions: the first to measure the water, dry matter and ash contents, the
81 second for the pH_u determination, the third for the losses analysis in exudate and cooking,
82 and the last to analyze malondialdehyde (MDA) and carbonyl contents, and the activity of
83 catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD). The portions of meat have been stored at -
84 80°C until analysis.

85 2.3. Ultimate pH (pH_u), exudate and cooking losses

88 *Postmortem* muscle pH_u was measured using a pH meter. After removal of external fat and
89 connective tissue, 2 g of meat was ground and homogenized with 18 ml of 5 mM sodium
90 iodoacetate using an ultrasonic sonicator-homogenizer, then the mixture was filtered and the
91 pH value was measured at 18°C. Use of a standardized glass electrode connected to a digital
92 pH meter. The pH meter was previously calibrated with pH 4 and pH 7 standards. The
93 readings were recorded in triplicate for each measurement.

94 To measure losses by exudate, 8 g of meat were weighed before and after 24 hours of storage
95 at 4°C. During storage, the samples were suspended by a nylon cord in a plastic bag, ensuring
96 that the meat had no contact with the juices in the bag. The exudation was calculated as a
97 percentage of the ratio of the weight of the juice to the weight of the initial sample.

98 To assess cooking loss, meat samples were placed in polythene bags, and fully immersed in a
99 70°C water bath for 90 minutes, with no ingredients added. The internal temperature of the
100 meat (97°C) was monitored using a thermometer during boiling. After cooking, the samples

Comment [EB3]: Materials

Comment [EB4]:

Comment [EB5]: Why only males but not both males and females ?
Is there any reason for this approach ? or it was based on available experimental resource ?

Comment [EB6]: Delete repeated word

Comment [EB7]: Change 'hours' to h

Comment [EB8]: Ultimate pH (pH_u)

Comment [EB9]: was

Comment [EB10]: pH_u

Comment [EB11]: 8 g

Comment [EB12]: 24 h

Comment [EB13]: exude

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [EB14]: 90 min

Formatted: Highlight

101 were cooled at room temperature for 40 min, in their exuded fluids, then removed and lightly
102 dried with filter paper and reweighed. Cooking losses were calculated as the difference in
103 mass of the sample before and after cooking, expressed as a percentage of the initial mass of
104 the sample.

106 2.4. Moisture, dry matter, ash and protein

107
108 The chemical composition of camel meat was analyzed according to the standard methods of
109 AOAC-Association of Official Analytical Chemists [21].

111 2.5. Determination of malondialdehyde (MDA) and carbonyls

112
113 Lipid oxidation was assessed by measuring colorimetrically substances reactive with
114 Thiobarbituric acid (SR-TBA) according to the method of Lynch and Frei [22]. This method
115 evaluate the quantity of non-volatile aldehydes (MDA) produced during oxidation. One ml of
116 the extract was mixed with 1 ml of a solution containing 1% thiobarbituric acid, 30%
117 trichloroacetic acid and 0.25 M hydrochloric acid. After incubation for 15 minutes at 100 °C,
118 the mixture was transferred to an ice bath to stop the reaction. After centrifugation at 1000×g
119 for 10 min, the supernatant was read at 535 nm.

120 The evaluation of protein oxidation was obtained by assaying protein carbonyls using
121 Dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) according to the method of Oliver et al. [23]. The
122 production of protein carbonyls is due to the oxidation of basic amino acids and threonine in
123 meat.

125 2.6. Measurement of CAT and SOD activities

126
127 CAT catalyzes the dismutation of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) into water and oxygen. The
128 activity of CAT was measured by colorimetry at 240 nm, by the variation of the optical
129 density following the disproportionation of H₂O₂ at an incubation temperature of 25°C. CAT
130 activity in the crude extract was determined spectrophotometrically using the method of Aebi
131 [24]. The activity of SOD in the extract was quantified according to the method of Paoletti et
132 al. [25]. The oxidation of NADH by superoxide radicals is monitored at 340 nm in the
133 reaction mixture containing 5 mM of EDTA, 2.5 mM of MnCl₂, 3.9 mM of 2-
134 mercaptoethanol and 10 µl of the crude extract in the 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer.
135 Reaction by adding 0.27 mM NADH as the final concentration.

137 2.7. Statistical analysis

138
139 The survey data was analyzed using SPSS software. The values of the physicochemical and
140 biochemical parameters were expressed as mean (M) ± standard error of the mean (SEM). A
141 parametric test (correlation of Pearson's analysis) was carried out to detect correlations of the
142 duration of transport, unloading, driving to the slaughter room, slaughtering and bleeding,
143 with the physicochemical and biochemical parameters of meat. P<0.05 was considered
144 statistically significant.

146 3. Results

148 3.1. Survey data

149

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Comment [EB15]: Write in full and abbreviation

Formatted: Font color: Red

Comment [EB16]: Write in full and abbreviation

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Font color: Red

Comment [EB17]: Version ?

150 The dromedaries usually arrived every Friday and Sunday transported in trucks at different
 151 times of the day, usually tied up, in a crouching position and deprived of water and food. The
 152 duration of transport was 10h-11h in 67.93% and 1-h-2h in 32.07% of the animals. After
 153 unloading, which lasted 3-min-4 min, 5-min-10 min and 11-min-20 min respectively, in
 154 16.98%, 32.07% and 50.94% of the animals, the camels were kept in the stall without access
 155 water and food. Then, they were led inside the slaughter room, for 5-min-6 min, 7-min-10
 156 min, 11-min-20 min respectively, for 22.64%, 35.85% and 42.50% of the animals. They were
 157 usually pulled by ropes and pushed. The animals were finally slaughtered according to the
 158 *halal* procedure without stunning within 4-min-5 min, 6-min-10 min and 11-min-20 min
 159 respectively, for 16.98%, 37.74% and 46.27% of the camels, while the duration from bleeding
 160 to loss of consciousness was less than 5-min-6 min, 7-min-8 min and 9-min-10 min
 161 respectively, in 16.98%, 28.30% and 55.71% of animals.

Comment [EB18]: access to

162

163 3.2 Characteristics of the meat

164

165 The analysis of the different physicochemical and biochemical characteristics of the meat
 166 studied, according to the duration of each of the pre-slaughter stress stages, are grouped in
 167 (Tables 1 and 2) below. Results showed that the losses of exudate and cooking, and the
 168 contents of carbonyls and MDA, were significantly ($P<0.05$) higher, while CAT and SOD
 169 activities were significantly ($P<0.05$) lower corresponding to the higher when the duration of
 170 transport, unloading, driving to the slaughter and slaughter were higher (Tables 1 and 2). On
 171 the contrary, pHu values and protein, water, dry matter and ashes contents did not show any
 172 significant variation with the duration of each of the pre-slaughter stress stages studied
 173 (Tables 1 and 2).

Comment [EB19]: was

174

175

176 **Table 1:** Distribution of the physicochemical characteristics of meat according to the
 177 duration of transport, unloading, transport to the slaughtering room, slaughtering and
 178 bleeding. (M±SEM, * $P<0.05$; comparison to the shortest duration of each pre-slaughter stress
 179 period)

180

Duration of pre-slaughter steps	pHu	Exsudate (%)	Cooking loss (%)	Moisture (%)	Dry matter (%)	Ashes (%)
Transport						
1-2 h (17)	5.56±0.18	0.47±0.12	21.36±2.64	75.25±1.53	24.75±1.53	0.98±0.11
10-11 h (36)	5.23±0.15	0.76±0.13*	30.23±3.51*	72.67±1.65	27.33±1.65	1.11±0.13
Unloading						
3-4 min (9)	5.51±0.15	0.43±0.12	19.85±2.36	73.45±1.54	26.55±1.54	1.07±0.11
5-10 min (17)	5.53±0.16	0.45±0.13	20.53±2.56	74.51±1.46	25.49±1.46	0.97±0.11
11-20 min (27)	5.41±0.17	0.75±0.13*	28.27±3.42*	72.57±1.55	27.43±1.55	1.11±0.12
Driving to the slaughter						
5-6 min (12)	5.54±0.18	0.41±0.11	20.33±2.52	73.65±1.64	26.35±1.64	0.99±0.12
7-10 min (19)	5.49±0.17	0.47±0.13	21.52±2.56	74.55±1.63	25.45±1.63	0.96±0.11
11-20 min (22)	5.33±0.15	0.74±0.13*	29.23±3.53*	73.48±1.56	26.52±1.56	1.12±0.13
Slaughter						
4-5 min (9)	5.53±0.17	0.44±0.11	21.08±2.71	72.51±1.81	27.49±1.81	1.07±0.12
6-10 min (20)	5.51±0.18	0.46±0.12	23.73±2.78	75.21±1.44	24.79±1.28	0.96±0.12
11-20 min (24)	5.41±0.16	0.77±0.12*	30.07±3.15*	73.67±1.27	26.33±1.42	1.09±0.13

Bleeding						
5-6 min (9)	5.52±0.17	0.45±0.13	19.81±2.76	75.23±1.34	24.77±1.34	0.98±0.11
7-8 min (15)	5.57±0.16	0.48±0.13	22.43±2.75	76.03±1.62	23.97±1.62	1.13±0.12
8-9 min (29)	5.43±0.15	0.56±0.13	24.11±3.27	74.17±1.67	27.33±1.67	1.07±0.13

181 *In brackets the number of animals ().*

182 **Table 2:** Distribution of the physicochemical characteristics of meat according to the
 183 duration of transport, unloading, transport to the slaughtering room, slaughtering and
 184 bleeding. (M±ESM, *P<0.05; comparison relative to the shortest duration of each pre-
 185 slaughter stress period)

186

Duration of preslaughter steps	Protein (%)	Carbonyls (nmol/mg)	MDA (nmol/kg)	SOD (µmol/min/mg)	CAT (UI/kg)
Transport					
1-2 h (17)	19.15±3.53	1.15±0.11	112.74±15.53	8.67±0.14	364.13±19.34
10-11 h (36)	17.11±3.32	1.87±0.13*	157.23±18.41*	7.21±0.13*	301.53±17.48*
Unloading					
3-4 min (9)	17.18±3.63	1.13±0.12	103.81±16.57	8.53±0.15	371.22±20.15
5-10 min (17)	18.15±3.67	1.14±0.13	123.45±17.43	8.32±0.15	350.31±19.35
11-20 min (27)	17.81±3.58	1.76±0.13*	151.56±16.76*	7.33±0.13*	312.42±18.21*
Driving to the slaughter					
5-6 min (12)	18.18±3.26	1.16±0.13	121.25±17.46	8.61±0.15	358.27±19.25
7-10 min (19)	20.13±3.63	1.15±0.13	133.57±18.19	8.53±0.15	333.31±19.48
11-20 min (22)	17.45±3.37	1.83±0.14*	163.19±19.08*	7.44±0.14*	308.65±18.83*
Slaughter					
4-5 min (9)	19.21±3.27	1.16±0.11	110.33±15.21	8.45±0.15	361.31±20.12
6-10 min (20)	17.25±3.36	1.25±0.12	126.74±16.42	8.27±0.15	345.26±20.13
11-20 min (24)	18.16±3.41	1.85±0.12*	161.21±18.41*	7.28±0.13*	310.71±18.53*
Bleeding					
5-6 min (9)	20.11±4.07	1.18±0.12	123.13±16.25	8.50±0.16	357.81±21.71
7-8 min (15)	19.23±3.49	1.21±0.13	131.12±16.21	8.46±0.15	340.31±19.58
8-9 min (29)	18.19±3.51	1.29±0.13	139.34±17.32	8.54±0.16	337.86±20.35

187 *In brackets the number of animals ().*

188

189 Furthermore, the analysis of the correlations between the duration of each of the pre-slaughter
 190 stress stages studied and the meat characteristics determined, for each animal, showed that the
 191 durations of transport, unloading, driving to the slaughter and slaughter, were significantly
 192 (P<0.05) and positively correlated with exudation, loss on cooking, and MDA and carbonyl
 193 contents (**Table 3**), whereas they were significantly (P<0.05) and negatively correlated with
 194 CAT and SOD activities (**Table 3**). On the contrary, no significant correlation (P>0.05)
 195 between these durations and the pHu and the water, dry matter, ash and protein contents was
 196 found (**Table 3**).

197

198

199

200

201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212

Table 3 : Correlations between the physicochemical and biochemical parameters of meat and the duration of transport, unloading, driving to the slaughtering room, slaughtering and bleeding

Meat parameters	Duration of preslaughter steps				
	Transport	Unloading	Driving to the slaughter	Slaughter	Bleeding
pHu	r=-0.391 p=0.098	r=-0.273 p=0.095	r=-0.206 p=0.084	r=-0.262 p=0.094	r=-0.254 p=0.091
Exsudate	r=0.586 p=0.032	r=0.449 p=0.041	r=0.561 p=0.033	r=0.557 p=0.034	r=0.257 p=0.401
Cooking loss	r=0.573 p=0.031	r=0.606 p<0.029	r=0.603 p=0.028	r=0.553 p=0.035	r=0.313 p=0.291
Moisture	r=0.355 p=0.242	r=0.268 p=0.441	r=0.277 p=0.423	r=0.342 p=0.245	r=0.343 p=0.245
Dry matter	r=0.121 p=0.684	r=0.212 p=0.454	r=0.143 p=0.637	r=0.211 p=0.454	r=0.119 p=0.686
Ashes	r=0.323 p=0.288	r=0.252 p=0.431	r=0.314 p=0.291	r=0.243 p=0.447	r=0.317 p=0.287
Protein	r=0.219 p=0.453	r=0.329 p=0.286	r=0.245 p=0.446	r=0.297 p=0.412	r=0.311 p=0.292
Carbonyls	r=0.446 p=0.041	r=0.448 p=0.040	r=0.463 p=0.038	r=0.456 p=0.039	r=0.360 p=0.237
MDA	r=0.565 p=0.016	r=0.576 p<0.015	r=0.651 p=0.048	r=0.634 p<0.049	r=0.348 p=0.243
CAT	r=-0.686 p=0.018	r=-0.594 p=0.016	r=-0.581 p=0.018	r=-0.678 p=0.016	r=-0.381 p=0.095
SOD	r=-0.573 p=0.031	r=-0.763 p=0.026	r=-0.722 p=0.024	r=-0.754 p=0.025	r=-0.367 p=0.098

Comment [EB20]: define r below table

Comment [EB21]: define p below table

213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224

4. Discussion

In dromedaries, stressful situations before slaughter, such as the duration of transport, unloading, driving to the slaughter room and slaughter, significantly influenced the losses to exudation and cooking, and antioxidant status of meat. Indeed, at the level of the meat, the water losses and the contents of MDA and carbonyls increased significantly, while the activities of CAT and SOD decreased significantly with the increase of these durations. According to Terlouw and Bourguet[8], preslaughter and slaughter stress influences animal behaviour, physiology and meat quality. In our country, as in the Middle East and

Comment [EB22]: enrich the discussion with a biochemical reason to this effect to make the discussion more scientific

225 Africa, [OIE] animal welfare standards are often not respected. Indeed, the transport of
226 dromedaries is not sufficiently regulated by law and is not subject to any official control on
227 the welfare of these animals during their transport.

228 In domestic animals, the pre-slaughter stress stages begin at the farm, rearing site, and market,
229 continue with loading, transport, unloading and waiting at the slaughterhouse, and end at
230 slaughter [26,27]. Indeed, in camels, transport could have induced hypercortisolemia [28,29]
231 and an activation of the generation of free radicals [30]. Similarly, rectal temperature, heart
232 and respiratory rates, hemolysis, neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio, and plasma glucose and cortisol
233 levels after transport and unloading were significantly higher than those analyzed before
234 transport, and been positively correlated with distance traveled [3,29], stocking density [4]
235 and housing conditions [5]. In goats, it has been reported that road transport for 2 h induced
236 an increase in circulating levels of cortisol and adrenaline, pHu and losses by exudation and
237 cooking of meat [31]. Similarly, in sheep, road transport on an uneven route for 4 hours
238 induced a significant increase in the pHu of the meat [32].

239 The rest period before slaughter, gives the animal the opportunity to eat, drink, sleep and rest
240 from the stress of transport, if it takes place in the absence of pathogens, mixing with other
241 animal species and additional handling [18]. According to Cooke et al. [33], a 2 h rest
242 improved welfare in preconditioned calves, while a 5 h rest was more beneficial than 10 or 15
243 h rest in newly weaned calves [34]. On the contrary, the duration of rest did not induce any
244 significant difference in morbidity, mortality and certain welfare indicators in conditioned
245 calves that were rested for 0, 4, 8 or 12 h [35].

246 Although the dromedary is a large animal and difficult to handle, during transport, unloading,
247 driving to the slaughter room and slaughter, vehicles are often not adopted for this animal, it
248 lacks the equipment and the means and the technicians have no information on stress and
249 animal welfare. Thus, all stages of handling the dromedary before slaughter require
250 experience [36], and any extension of the duration of each of these stages will be more
251 stressful for the animal. In addition, in camels, slaughter is done by the halal procedure
252 without stunning during which the animal should be restrained in a squatting position, tying
253 its front legs with a rope at the level at the knees. Once the animal's head was fixed and turned
254 towards the tail, a quick incision with a knife would cut between the base of the neck and the
255 thorax, to cause the animal to bleed rapidly by sectioning the jugular vein, the carotid arteries,
256 the esophagus and trachea, without severing the spinal cord. Indeed, in camels, Lemrhamed et
257 al. [6], reported that at slaughter, blood levels of MDA, glucose and cortisol were higher,
258 while CAT activity was lower, than those observed just before slaughter. Thus, increasing the
259 preparation time of the animal for the traditional slaughter procedure will have a much more
260 pronounced impact on its antioxidant status.

261 Other works have reported that the elevation of MDA content and the decrease of CAT and
262 SOD activities in meat, have been considered as reliable indicators of oxidative stress [37,38].
263 The production of free radicals during the oxidation of meat induces peroxidation of lipids
264 and proteins. Zhu et al. [37] had demonstrated in a study carried out in ducks, that the group
265 of animals which was slaughtered after transport for 2 hours showed a significantly higher
266 level of MDA compared to the control group which was not transported. Similar results were
267 reported by Shao [39] who reported a significant increase in MDA after 2 hour transport in
268 pigs. On the other hand, Barka et al. [38] studied the effect of transport distance on certain
269 physicochemical and biochemical parameters in 3 muscles (triceps, oblique and diaphragm) in
270 camels. The authors found a significant decrease in glycogen content and a significant
271 increase in pHu in these muscles as transport distance increased, with no significant variation
272 in protein, ashes, dry matter, and moisture. On the contrary, MDA content increased and CAT
273 activity decreased significantly with increasing transport distance. In addition, in camels,
274 Tabite et al. [7] investigated the relationship between blood cortisol levels at slaughter

Comment [EB23]: write in full before abbreviation

Comment [EB24]: The statement is too straight forward. why aren't the transport of dromedaries regulated by law. Is it because its less patronize by meat consumers? are other animals transport regulated by law than dromedaries? enhance this section with comparative discussion with other animals transport regulations either in Morocco or other countries etc., and make recommendations where necessary

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Highlight

Comment [EB25]: this section can best substantiate line 218-220 biochemical reason to the MDA and Carbonyl increment. Restructure and construct the discussion of this section appropriately for clarity.

275 according to pre-slaughter stress intensity and post-mortem physicochemical composition,
276 quality characteristics and indicators of antioxidant status of meat stored in cold. These
277 authors found that cortisol levels were positively correlated with pH, exudation, cooking loss,
278 and MDA, and were negatively correlated with CAT activity.
279

280
281
282

283 5. Conclusions

284

285 As with other domestic animals, the dromedary cannot escape the stressful conditions that
286 continue with loading, transport, unloading, reception, stabling, driving to the slaughterhouse
287 and slaughter. The handling of this animal will be much more stressful due to the lack of
288 means adopted and the training of breeders, drivers and slaughterhouse technicians. This
289 favors alterations of the homeostasis of the dromedary and the quality of its meat,
290 endangering the health of the consumer and food safety. Guaranteeing the welfare of the
291 dromedary camel throughout the stages preceding slaughter requires good environmental
292 conditions, access to water and food, good health and normal behavioral reactions, while
293 respecting appropriate stocking density with comfortable lairage, animal health and bedding
294 conditions. After all, the dromedary needs legislation on its well-being during all these
295 *antemortem* stages according to international standards.
296

297

298

298 REFERENCES

299

- 300 1. FAOstat, Données de l'alimentation et de l'agriculture – FAOSTAT; 2021. French.
301 Accessed 13 September 2022. Available: <https://www.fao.org>.
- 302 2.Faye B. How many large camelids in the world? A synthetic analysis of the world camel
303 demographic changes, Pastoralism Research Policy and Practice. 2020;10:25. DOI :
304 10.1186/s13570-020-00176-z.
- 305 3.El Khasmi M, Chakir Y, Bargaâ R, Barka K, Lektib I, El Abbadi N et al. Impact of
306 transport distance on stress biomarkers levels in dromedary camel (*Camelus*
307 *dromedarius*).Emir J Food Agric. 2015;27(6):507-512.DOI: 10.9755/ejfa.2015.04.058.
- 308 4.Lemrhamed A, Farh M, Riad F, El Abbadi N, Tahri EH, Belhouari A et al. Evaluation of
309 stress responses induced by the loading density in dromedary camel «*Camelus dromedarius*»,
310 Emir J Food Agric.2018;30(9):803-808. DOI : 10.9755/ejfa.2018.v30.i9.1803.
- 311 5.Lemrhamed A, Tabite R, Farh M, Riad F, El Abbadi N, Tahri EH et al. Evaluation of
312 preslaughter stress responses during waiting time at lairage in dromedary camels (*Camelus*
313 *dromedarius*).J Camel Pract Res. 2019;26(2):149-156.DOI : 10.5958/2277-
314 8934.2019.00023.7.
- 315 6. Lemrhamed A, Tabite R, Abbadi N, El Khasmi M, Faye B. Evaluation of Slaughter Stress
316 Responses in the Dromedary Camel.Inter J Livest Res. 2019;9(8):78-90. DOI :
317 10.5455/ijlr.20190527012442.
- 318 7.Tabite R, Lemrhamed A, El Abbadi N, Belhouari A, Faye B, El khasmi M. Relationship
319 between circulating levels of cortisol at slaughter and meat physico-chemical and oxidant
320 stress parameters in dromedary camels. Emir J Food Agric. 2019;31(11):874-883.DOI :
321 10.9755/ejfa.2019.v31.i11.2031.
- 322 8.TerlouwEMC, Bourguet C. Quantifying animal welfare preslaughter using behavioural,
323 physiological and carcass and meat quality measures. Preslaughter handling and slaughter of
324 meat animals.2022;13-61. DOI : 10.3920/978-90-8686-924-4_1ff.f.

325 9.Fazio E, Medica P, Cravana C, Aveni F, Ferlazzo A. Comparative endocrinological
326 responses to short transportation of Equidae (*Equus asinus* and *Equus caballus*). Anim Sci J.
327 2013;84(3):258-263.DOI : 10.1111/j.1740-0929.2012.01065.x.
328 10.Fazio E, Medica P, Cravana C, Ferlazzo A. Comparative effects of simulated and
329 conventional transportations on the thyroid response of stallions (*Equus caballus*). J Equine
330 Vet Sci. 2015;35(11-12):894-900.
331 11.Padalino B, Raidal SR. Effects of Transport Conditions on Behavioural and Physiological
332 Responses of Horses. Animals2020;10(1):160.DOI : 10.3390/ani10010160.
333 12.Roadknight N, Mansell P, Jongman E, Courtman N, Fisher A. Invited review: The welfare
334 of young calves transported by road. J Dairy Sci. 2021;104(6):6343-6357. DOI :
335 10.3168/jds.2020-19346.
336 13.Buddle EA, Bray HJ, Ankeny RA. I Feel Sorry for Them: Australian Meat Consumers'
337 Perceptions about Sheep and Beef Cattle Transportation. Animals, 2018;8(10):171. DOI :
338 10.3390/ani8100171.
339 14.Alonso ME, González-Montaña JR, Lomillos JM. Préoccupations et perceptions des
340 consommateurs sur le bien-être des animaux d'élevage.Animaux, 2020;10(3):385. DOI:
341 10.3390/ani10030385.
342 15. Pérez MP, Palacio J, Santolaria MP, Acena MC, Chacon G, Verde MT et al. Influence of
343 lairage time on some welfare and meat quality parameters in pigs. Vet Res. 2002;33(3):239-
344 250. DOI : 10.1016/s0309-1740(01)00216-9.
345 16. Warriss PD. Optimal lairage times and conditions for slaughter pigs: A review. Vet Rec.
346 2003;153(3):170-176. DOI : 10.1136/vr.153.6.170.
347 17. Dalla Costa FA, Devillers N, Paranhos da Costa MJR, Faucitano L. Effects of applying
348 preslaughter feed withdrawal at the abattoir on behaviour, blood parameters and meat
349 quality in pigs. Meat Sci. 2016;119:89-94. DOI : 10.1016/j.meatsci.2016.03.033.
350 18.Grandin T. Assessment of stress during handling and transport. J Anim Sci. 1997;75(1):
351 249-257. DOI : 10.2527/1997.751249x.
352 19.FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). Transportation of
353 animals to slaughter, 2004. Accessed 13 September 2022. Available:
354 <http://www.fao.org/tempref/docrep/fao/010/y5454s/y5454s05.pdf>.
355 20. Marahrens M, Kernberger-Fischer I. Research for ANIT Committee-The practices of
356 animal welfare during transport in third countries: an overview, European Parliament, Policy
357 Department for Structural and Cohesion Policies, Brussels. 2021. Accessed 13 September
358 2022. Available:
359 [http://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document.html?reference=IPOL_STU\(2021\)690](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document.html?reference=IPOL_STU(2021)690)
360 877.
361 21.AOAC W. Horwitz, International A: Official Methods of Analysis of the AOAC
362 International. The Association: Arlington County, VA, USA; 2000.
363 22.Lynch SM, Frei B. Mechanisms of copper- and iron- dependent oxidative modification of
364 human low-density lipoprotein. J Lipid Res. 1993;34(10):1745-1751.
365 23.Oliver CN, Alin BW, Moerman EJ, Goldstein S, Stadtman ER. Age-related changes in
366 oxidized proteins. J Biol Chem. 1987;262(12):5488-5491.
367 24.AEBI H. Catalase. Dans: Méthodes d'analyse enzymatique. Presse académique, pp 673-
368 684; 1974. French.
369 25.Paoletti F, Aldinucci D, Mocali A, Caparrini A. A Sensitive Spectrophotometric Method
370 for the Determination of Superoxide Dismutase Activity in Tissue Extracts. Anal Biochem.
371 1986;154(2):536-541. DOI : 10.1016/0003-2697(86)90026-6.
372 26.Terlouw EMC, Porcher J, Fernandez X. Repeated handling of pigs during rearing. II.
373 Effect of reactivity to humans on aggression during mixing and on meat quality. J Anim Sci.
374 2005;83(7):1664-1672. DOI : 10.2527/2005.8371664x.

375 27.Miranda-de la Lama G. Transport and pre-slaughter logistics: definitions and current
376 tendencies in animal welfare and meat quality. *Veterinaria México OA*, 2013;44(1):31-56.
377 <https://veterinariamexico.fmvz.unam.mx/index.php/vet/article/view/328>.
378 28.Saeb M, Baghshani H, Nazifi S, Saeb S. Physiological response of dromedary camels to
379 road transportation in relation to circulating levels of cortisol, thyroid hormones and some
380 serum biochemical parameters. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* 2010;42(1):55-63. DOI :
381 10.1007/s11250-009-9385-9.
382 29.El Khasmi M, Chakir Y, Riad F, Safwate A, Tahri EH, Farh M et al. Effects of
383 transportation stress during the hot-dry season on some haematological and physiological
384 parameters in moroccan dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). *J Life Sci.* 2013;7:13-25.
385 30.Saeed N, Mahdi S, Hasan B, Saeedeh S. Influence of road transportation during hot
386 summer conditions on oxidative status biomarkers in Iranian dromedary camels (*Camelus*
387 *dromedarius*). *Afr J Biochem Res.* 2009;3(7)282-287.
388 31.Kadim IT, Mahgoub O, Al-Kindi A, Al-Marzooqi W, Al-Saqri NM. Effects of
389 transportation at high ambient temperatures on physiological responses, carcass and meat
390 quality characteristics of three breeds of Omani goats. *Meat Sci.* 2006;73(4):626-634. DOI :
391 10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.03.003.
392 32.Ruiz-de la Torre JL, Velarde A, Diestre A, Gispert M. Effects of vehicle movements
393 during transport on the stress responses and meat quality of sheep. *Vet Rec.*
394 2001;148(8):227-229. DOI : 10.1136/vr.148.8.227.
395 33.Cooke RF, Guarnieri Filho T, Cappellozza B, Bohnert D. Rest stops during road transport:
396 Impacts on performance and acute-phase protein responses of feeder cattle. *J Anim Sci.* 2013;
397 91(11):5448-5454. DOI : 10.2527/jas.2013-6357.
398 34.Marti S, Wilde R, Moya D, Heuston C, Brown F, Schwartzkopf-Genswein SK. Effect of
399 rest stop duration during long-distance transport on welfare indicators in recently weaned beef
400 calves. *J Anim Sci.* 2017;95(2):636-644. DOI : 10.2527/jas.2016.0739.
401 35. Meléndez DM, Marti S, Haley DB, Schwinghamer TD, Schwartzkopf-Genswein SK.
402 Effect of transport and rest stop duration on the welfare of conditioned cattle transported by
403 road. *PloS One*, 2020;15(3):e0228492. DOI : 10.1371/journal.pone.0228492.
404 36.Kadim IT, Farouk MM, Mahgoub O, Bekhit AE. Slaughtering and Processing of Camels.
405 In: Kadim IT, Editor. *Camel Meat and Meat Products*, CAB International; pp 54-72; 2013.
406 37. Zhu Z, Chen Y, Huang Z, Zhang Y, Xu Q, Tong Y et al. Effects of transport stress and
407 rest before slaughter on blood parameters and meat quality of ducks. *Can J Anim Sci.*
408 2014;94(4):595-600. DOI : 10.4141/cjas-2014-017.
409 38.Barka K, Farh M, Riad F, El Hassane EH, Belhouari A, El khasmi M. Impact of Transport
410 Distance on Some Stress Biomarkers Levels in Camel Meat. *MOJ Food Process*
411 *Technol.* 2016;2(4):00043. DOI: 10.15406/mojfpt.2016.02.00043.
412 39.Shao B. The influence of transportation on piglet antioxidant function. M.Sc. thesis,
413 Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China, pp 68; 2007.