

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_92310
Title of the Manuscript:	An economic analysis of paddy production in Kanchanrup municipality, Saptari, Nepal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Table 1 should be moved to Section 2.1</li> <li>Section 2.1. Please clarify more specifically why the sample size is 60. How you come with this number or base on what formula/sources?</li> <li>Section 2.3, 2.6: the formulas, equations should be added sourced</li> <li>Section 3.1 "<i>Socioeconomic and demographic characterization of the rice farmer</i>": there are too many subsection from 3.1.1 to 3.1.12. The author should not separate these sections but include from section 3.1.1 to 3.1.12 in one subsection.</li> <li>Section 3.1.8; 3.1.9 and 3.1.10 should be in one section, namely technical issues of producing paddy rice</li> <li>Section 3.11 and 3.12 should be more specific on market issue, related to buyers, markets and constraint of producing paddy rice</li> </ol> <p>In the last part of Section 1. Introduction, the purposes of this study according to author are: "we want to suggest they use modern tools and technology so that the production of paddy will increase and the living standard of the farmer will uplift", however reviewer did not see how or in what way author solve these purposes?</p> <p>7. The author should compare the efficiency of producing paddy rice in the discussion part with other products/activities in the study area to show how much differences or efficiency of rice production is more or less than the others?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Section 1 should be rewritten in such a clearer way to show the objectives of this study</li> <li>Section 3.3. The author may consider to clarify on how the index was calculated based on respondent's concern/opinion related to production constraints</li> <li>The study could consider to do a linear regression on which factors affect the economics output/efficiency of producing paddy rice in the study area</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some English mistakes in the manuscript should be corrected	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hoang Thanh Long</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Economics, Hue University, Vietnam</b>