

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_91163
Title of the Manuscript:	Ehlers Danlos syndrome: A case report
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1 I disagree with the association of EDS types with particular genes, and have some concerns about the EDS diagnosis in this patient, but the nice clinical pictures and need to promote recognition of EDS justifies publication. I do insist that the authors point out in the introduction that EDS is one of many conditions with hypermobility (recognized by the Beighton scale as they mention and photograph) and thus has considerably higher prevalence (?10% of the 10-20% of people over Beighton 5/9 and thus 1-2% of the population) than the 1 in 5000 often given (provide the Tinkle reference on this-- Tinkle BT, Levy HP. Symptomatic joint hypermobility: The hypermobile type of Ehlers-Danlos syndrome and the hypermobility spectrum disorders. Med Clin N Amer 2019;103:1021-1033.</p> <p>2 Some mention of the conjunction of hypermobility with any type of muscle hypoplasia should be mentioned, acknowledging that this patient with the atypically severe muscle atrophy and resulting joint contractures could be a general myopathic type of EDS that is mentioned in the Brady reference—also acknowledge that the facial changes (which should be described—I see prominent forehead, hypertelorism, malformed ears, small jaw with overbite as a minimum) are atypical and that unusual scars, peizogenic papules, spheroids, bruising, skin lacerations were not present)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There are several discrepancies with the EDS abbreviation which should remain constant throughout the article—third sentence has Sed, first of discussion DHS; reference of Hagberg mentioned in article is not listed	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Golder N Wilson
Department, University & Country	Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, USA