

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_91075
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological-clinical, therapeutic and evolutionary profile of children treated for bacterial meningitis at CHU-MEL (Benin)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this article, the author did a good evaluation regarding the epidemiology and type of bacteria and clinical aspects of children that presented with bacterial meningitis. I would like to know when is the period of study of all these children because depending on the time of this study, we can know how was the energy situation of these patients to know if they were immunodeficient or not, depending on the energy alterations inside the patient's body.	
Minor REVISION comments	In this study, the author did not clarify where the patients analysed were doing their treatment because if they need to buy the antibiotics and the treatment is made at home, it will increase the mortality. Please, clarify this point that it is not clear when the patient is treated at hospital or not, for how long is the treatment and all patients need to buy their medication or there is any government help in this situation, or they stayed in the hospital during all treatment period. For how long is the treatment, and which is the criterion to allow patient to go back home or to consider cured?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Huang Wei Ling
Department, University & Country	Londrina State University, Brazil