

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_87072
Title of the Manuscript:	Digestive disease in chronic inflammatory diseases in children (about 10 cases)
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstracts</b> : Restructure and beef up the abstract in a scientific fashion consistent with : brief background ; aim of study ; material and methods ; result ; conclusion/recommendation !!!</p> <p><b>Introduction</b> :Too scanty with no introduction of the study matters and references to already known facts, beef up !!!</p> <p><b>Material and methods</b>: Not written properly to depict the materials, data collected and parameters used for the study. Pls beef up</p> <p><b>Results</b> : NO tables or statistical analysis of data</p> <p><b>Discussion</b>: This is not well discussed, the present study results/outcome should be assessed, compared and corroborate with the existing body of knowledge. Implication and inferences of the present study are drawn. !!!!</p> <p><b>No references in the discussion</b></p> <p><b>Conclusion</b>: Is it a combination of two different autoimmune diseases or clinical-paraclinical manifestations within a single disease? NOT RELATED TO THE TITLE OF STUDY</p> <p><b>Références</b> : TOO SCANTY</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emmanuel Adeyemi Odumeru
Department, University & Country	University of Rwanda, Rwanda