

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_92352
Title of the Manuscript:	On Determination of Behavioural Characteristics Responsible for Psychopathic Personality Traits
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The Abstract should contain answers to the following questions: What problem was studied, and why is it important? What methods were used? What are the important results? What conclusions can be drawn from the results? where does it go beyond previous efforts in the literature?	
Minor REVISION comments	1.The whole manuscript should be checked for typos and grammatical errors. There are various types of errors in the manuscript. An overall review is needed for fixing the grammatical and typos errors in the manuscript. Check all the equations for (.) and (,). 2. The Introduction should make a compelling case for why the study is useful along with a clear statement of its novelty or originality by providing relevant information and providing answers to basic questions such as: What is already known in the open literature?	
Optional/General comments	1.The authors should explain the limitations of this work in the introduction section. 2.The conclusion section must also contain new findings of the paper. 3.Give more details in the introduction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Negar Bakhshi
Department, University & Country	Farhanghiyan University, Iran