

## Original Research Article

### **INTEGER PROGRAMMING MODEL FOR EFFICIENT BUS SCHEDULING PROBLEM IN TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT**

#### **ABSTRACT**

*Integer program was formulated in this work for efficient bus scheduling problem in Transport Company to obtain an optimal bus allocation system for its travelling routes in Nigeria. Integer programs were formulated for both the long and short routes categories. Transportation data from Akwa Ibom Transport Company (AKTC) was used to obtain an optimal bus schedule for the company with increase turnover in daily ticket collection by ₦2,327,500.00, ₦825,300.00 and ₦3,152,800.00 representing 34.78%, 10.60% and 21.78% respectively for the long routes, short routes and the overall routing system, while also meeting daily customers' demand. Hence, the use of integer programming model is recommended for efficient vehicle scheduling and increase turnover in transport companies and personnel management system.*

**Keywords:** Integer programming, bus scheduling, branch and bound algorithm, transport company, travelling route

#### **1 Introduction**

Operational planning within the public transit companies has been a major concern. Hence, the need to develop and refine existing models/methodologies for efficient transport system. According to [1], these models include maps, activity charts, balance sheets, PERT network, break-even equation, economic ordering, quantity equation, scheduling and simulation, among others. Scheduling was described by [2] as a decision making process that is used on a regular basis in many manufacturing and services industries, dealing with allocation of resources to tasks over given time period, with the goal of optimizing one or more objectives. While [3], [4], [5] and [6] defined scheduling as the temporal allocation of activities to resources to achieve some desirable objectives and categorize it into job process arrival, number of machines, the flow pattern and the performance criterion to be optimized.

A scheduling model based on interrelationship between passenger trip demands and bus trip supplies for inter-city carriers was formulated by [7] for efficient passengers' services. Furthermore, [8] presented an

**Comment [SL1]:** Since the work is an application of an existing method and not the development of a new or generalized methodology, the title should be recast. I recommend **INTEGER PROGRAMMING MODEL FOR EFFICIENT BUS SCHEDULING PROBLEM IN TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT: A CASE STUDY OF AKWA IBON TRANSPORTATION COMPANY**

**Comment [SL2]:** Replace decision making with decision-making

efficient optimum solution for a real-life employee days-off scheduling problem to determine the minimum number of workers and feasible days-off assignments. Also, integer linear programming approach was applied by [8], [9] and [10] to solve vehicle scheduling problem to cover all tasks and ensure that the number of drivers and costs of shifts is minimized. A real time scheduling method for a variable-route bus to reduce cost and average waiting time of the passengers was considered by [11]. In 2011, [12] underscored the use of buses in public transport technology as the most easily accessible and cheaper means compared to other kinds of public transportation medium. However, [13] showed that there is a declining reliability of bus services with increasing distance between a bus stop and the original terminal. Also, [14] proposed an integer linear programming model for exact solutions, considering skip-stop patterns when designing timetables for express trains and proposed several nonlinear integer programming models with linear constraints. However, [15] built an optimal model to schedule the departure time of each bus service with the objective of minimizing the average waiting time. The model when compared with the existing bus scheduling system helped to reduce the waiting time using experimental results. On this premise, this work will adapt an integer programming modelling approach with days-off as decision variables proposed by [15] to obtain efficient days-off bus scheduling roster. Real time data is obtain from AKTC, Uyo, Nigeria.

### 1.1 Problem definition

Akwa Ibom Transport Company (AKTC), Uyo is one of the major bus operators in Akwa Ibom State with large fleet of buses. The company currently owns 378 active buses with average of 14 passengers travelling on 22 different routes within Nigeria, majorly through the south-south, south-east, north central and south-western regions of the country. These routes are categorized as long or short routes. The routes to the north central, North West and South West are referred to as long routes while routes to South-South and South-East are short routes on an 18-hours (5.00 to 23.00) and 7 days-a-week schedule. A route is said to be long if its trip distance from Uyo terminus is at least 500km. On the other hand, a route is said to be short if its trip distance from Uyo terminus is less than 500km. Buses of long routes, at arrival at specified long route destination, take the next day off and resumes work on the second day after arrival. This implies that, a bus of a long route works 4 days in a week and takes 3 alternating days off. Buses of short routes operate the full 18-hours, only enjoying a 6-hours rest. Each of the company's routes has an average daily passenger demand, daily bus allocation, respective per ticket price and the required bus allocation.

**Comment [SL3]:** Replace is with was

**Comment [SL4]:** Replace obtain with obtained

**Comment [SL5]:** Since this is the first mention of the Acronym AKTC, its meaning should be spelled out completely. After which, you may choose to use the acronym

**Comment [SL6]:** What are you referring to? Look at it and rephrase

The company observed that, most times, reserve buses or buses of shorts routes are converted to long routes at emergency to meet the demands of long routes passengers. Thus, the company wishes to explore the lucrative ticket prices of the long routes as well as meet overall passengers demand. The management, in addition, also needs an allocation system on the remaining buses (resulting from the schedule system of the long route buses) to the short routes, taking into consideration, the per trip ticket collection for each short route trip subject to availability of at least 15 buses at the Uyo terminus for emergency conditions.

**Comment [SL7]:** Replace passengers with passengers'

Hence, there is need to obtain an efficient bus scheduling model that would optimize fleet size and ticket collections for the various routes to ensure optimum profit and guarantees customers satisfaction taking into consideration the 3 alternating days off for the long route drivers and other limiting factors.

### 1.2 Assumptions of the Study

1. Company buses are uniquely assigned to drivers and are not shared among company branches.
2. Company bus travelling on a long route is unavailable for schedule on the next work day: an off day is the day a bus is unavailable, hence, buses have 4 days-on and 3 days-off, irrespective of the alternating nature of this arrangement.
3. Travel time between two consecutive stations is assumed to be constant.

**Comment [SL8]:** Replace available, with available;

**Comment [SL9]:** The assumption list should be in the form:  
 1. Company buses are uniquely assigned to drivers and are not shared among company branches.  
 2. Company bus travelling on a long route is unavailable for schedule on the next work day: an off day is the day a bus is unavailable, hence, buses have 4 days-on and 3 days-off, irrespective of the alternating nature of this arrangement.  
 3. Travel time between two consecutive stations is assumed to be constant.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 The Branch and Bound Algorithm for Solving Integer Programming Problems

1. **Initialization:** Consider the following integer programming problem (ILPP):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize: } Z &= \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \dots + \theta_q x_q & (1) \\ \text{subject to } & \begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1q}x_q = T_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2q}x_q = T_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{p1}x_1 + a_{p2}x_2 + \dots + a_{pq}x_q = T_p \end{cases} & (2) \\ x_j &\geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^q \text{ (for all } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, q) & (3) \end{aligned}$$

Eqns (1) - (3) can be expressed in matrix form as;

$$\text{max } Z = G_{1 \times q} X_{q \times 1} \tag{4}$$

$$\text{Subject to: } A_{p \times q} X_{q \times 1} = B_{p \times 1} \tag{5}$$

$$x_j \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^q \text{ (for some or all } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, q)$$

Where:

$$G_{1 \times q} = [\theta_1 \ \theta_2 \ \theta_3 \ \dots \ \theta_q]$$

$$X_{q \times 1}^T = [x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ \dots \ x_q]$$

$$\underline{A}_{pq \times q} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \beta_{13} & \dots & \beta_{1q} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} & \beta_{23} & \dots & \beta_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{p1} & \beta_{p2} & \beta_{p3} & \dots & \beta_{pq} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and } \underline{B}_{p \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ \vdots \\ r_p \end{bmatrix}$$

### Branching Step

- i. Let  $X_k$  be one of the basic variables which does not have an integer value and also has the largest fractional value.
- ii. Branch (or partition) the LP problem into 2 new LP sub-problems based on integer values of  $X_k$  that are immediately above and below its non-integer value. It is partitioned by adding 2 mutually exclusive constraints to the original LP problem. The constraints are;
 
$$X_k \leq [X_k] \text{ and } X_k \geq [X_k] + 1$$

Here  $[X_k]$  is the integer portion of the current fractional value of the variable,  $X_k$ . This is done to exclude the non-integer value of  $X_k$ . The two new LP problems will be:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Maximize: } z = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j X_j \\ &\text{Subject to: } \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j = b_i \\ &\quad \quad \quad X_k \leq [X_k] ; X_j \geq 0 \\ &\text{And: Maximize } z = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j X_j \\ &\text{Subject to: } \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j = b_i \\ &\quad \quad \quad X_k \geq [X_k] + 1 ; X_j \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

### 2. Bound Step:

Obtain the optimal solution of the sub-problems. Let the optimal value of the objective functions of the sub-problems be  $Z_2$  and  $Z_3$  respectively. The best integer solution value becomes the lower bound on the integer LP problem. Let the lower bound be denoted by  $Z_L$ .

### 3. Fathoming Step:

- i. If a sub-problem yields an infeasible solution, terminate the branch.
  - ii. If a sub-problem yields a feasible non-integer solution, return to step 2.
  - iii. If a sub-problem yields a feasible integer solution, examine the value of the objective function. If the value is equal to the upper bound, an optimal upper solution is reached. But if it is not equal to the upper bound but exceeds the lower bound, this value is used as the new upper bound, then return to step 2. But, if this value is less than lower bound, terminate the branch.
4. **Termination:** The procedure of branching and bounding continues until no further sub-problem remains to be examined. At this stage, the integer solution corresponding to the current lower bound is the optimal all-integer programming problem solution.

## 2.2 Integer Linear Programming Problem Formulation for the Long Routes

An optimal schedule for the long route buses will take into consideration assurance of these days off for each long distance bus while meeting the daily bus requirement for all long routes. We let:

$X_1$  – Number of buses on off on Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday

$X_2$  = Number of buses on off on Monday, Wednesday and Friday

**Comment [SL10]:** Replace long distance with long-distance

$X_3$  = Number of buses on off on Sunday, Tuesday and Thursday

$X_4$  = Number of buses on off on Saturday, Monday and Wednesday

$X_5$  = Number of buses on off on Friday, Sunday and Tuesday

$X_6$  = Number of buses on off on Thursday, Saturday and Monday

$X_7$  = Number of buses on off on Wednesday, Friday and Sunday

The implication of the definitions of  $X_1$  to  $X_7$  is that, for instance, on Mondays, number of buses on duty will be the sum of  $X_1, X_3, X_5$  and  $X_7$ , while on Tuesdays, number of buses on duty will be the sum of  $X_2, X_4, X_6$  and  $X_7$  and so on. Thus, the corresponding integer linear program is given as:

**Minimize**  $Z = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7$

subject to:

Monday Constraint:  $X_1 + X_3 + X_5 + X_7 \geq r_1$   
 Tuesday Constraint:  $X_2 + X_4 + X_6 + X_7 \geq r_2$   
 Wednesday Constraint:  $X_1 + X_3 + X_5 + X_6 \geq r_3$   
 Thursday Constraint:  $X_2 + X_4 + X_6 + X_7 \geq r_4$   
 Friday Constraint:  $X_1 + X_3 + X_4 + X_6 \geq r_5$   
 Saturday Constraint:  $X_2 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 \geq r_6$   
 Sunday Constraint:  $X_1 + X_2 + X_4 + X_6 + \geq r_7$   
 $X_i \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7$

Where:

$r_j$  = daily bus requirement for a day of the week,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7$ .

This implies that  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_7$  represent bus requirement for Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday and Sunday.

Therefore, a formal integer linear program is formulated as follows:

**Minimize**  $Z = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7$  (6)

subject to:

$X_1 + X_3 + X_5 + X_7 \geq r_1$   
 $X_2 + X_4 + X_6 + X_7 \geq r_2$   
 $X_1 + X_3 + X_4 + X_6 \geq r_3$   
 $X_2 + X_4 + X_5 + X_7 \geq r_4$   
 $X_1 + X_3 + X_4 + X_6 \geq r_5$   
 $X_2 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 \geq r_6$   
 $X_1 + X_2 + X_4 + X_6 + \geq r_7$   
 $X_i \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7$  (7)

$X_i \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 7$  (8)

(6) to (8) can be expressed in matrix form as:

**Comment [SL11]:** Should read daily bus requirement. Needly to add for a day of the week since the word daily has been used already

**Comment [SL12]:** Sunday respectively.

**Comment [SL13]:** Properly separate equation 7 and 8 with a space

$$\text{Minimize } Z = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \\ X_7 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

subject to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \\ X_7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \\ P_4 \\ P_5 \\ P_6 \\ P_7 \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \\ X_7 \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Z \quad (11)$$

Table 1: Travelling Routes, Passenger Demand, Bus Availability and Bus Requirement

Travelling Route	Average Daily Passenger Demand	Daily Bus Availability	Daily Bus Requirement
Long Routes			
Ojuelegba	340	21	25
Ajah	47	2	4
Maza Maza	31	2	3
Okota	29	2	3
Jabi	153	9	11
Nyanya	107	6	8
Ibadan	35	2	3
Jos	45	3	4
Kaduna	25	2	2
Short Routes			
Benin	46	3	4
Enugu	98	6	7
Owerri	158	10	12
Warri	95	6	7
Bayelsa	42	3	3
Asaba	76	5	6
Umuahia	471	30	34
Calabar	1,104	75	79
Port Harcourt	1,188	80	85

**Comment [SL14]:** Don't you think the assumption that all their buses are 14-seater should be added to your list of assumptions because it's based on it you calculated the daily bus requirement in table1. Anything other that this specification will affect the whole model.

**Comment [SL15]:** Are these routes or destinations? There is a difference between route and destination. Except it is in context. Then it should be so defined

**Comment [SL16]:** Are these routes or destinations?

Awka	74	5	6
Abakiliki	72	4	6
Onitsha	70	5	5
Aba	641	40	46

Table 1 reveals that some long routes' daily bus requirements are similar, implying that, for those that are similar, the solution will be same. Based on this premise, we establish 6 groups: **A, B, C, D, E, F**, respectively. **A** consists Maza Maza, Okota and Ibadan routes. **B** consists Ajah and Jos routes. **C, D, E**, and **F** are the Ojuelegba, Jabi, Nyanya and Kaduna routes, respectively. Therefore, Integer Program would be formulated and analyze for each of these 6 groups.

The Integer Linear Problem for group A ( $G_A$ ) is given as:

$$\text{Minimize } Z = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 \quad (12)$$

subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 &\geq 3 \\ X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 &\geq 3 \\ X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 &\geq 3 \\ X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 &\geq 3 \\ X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 &\geq 3 \\ X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 &\geq 3 \\ X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7 &\geq 3 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and can be expressed in matrix form as:

$$\text{Minimize } Z = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \\ X_7 \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

subject to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \\ X_7 \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$



subject to:  $\sum_{p=1}^{13} Y_p = 296$

where:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Y_1 = 4 \\ Y_2 \leq 7 \\ Y_3 \leq 12 \\ Y_4 \leq 7 \\ Y_5 \leq 3 \\ Y_6 \leq 6 \\ Y_7 \leq 24 \\ Y_8 \leq 79 \\ Y_9 \leq 85 \\ Y_{10} \leq 6 \\ Y_{11} \leq 6 \\ Y_{12} \leq 5 \\ Y_{13} \leq 46 \\ Y_p \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right\} \quad (20)$$

This integer linear problem can be presented in matrix form as:

$$\text{Maximize } Z = [672 \ 448 \ 322 \ 532 \ 448 \ 532 \ 280 \ 210 \ 322 \ 427 \ 560 \ 455 \ 154] \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \\ Y_4 \\ Y_5 \\ Y_6 \\ Y_7 \\ Y_8 \\ Y_9 \\ Y_{10} \\ Y_{11} \\ Y_{12} \\ Y_{13} \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

subject to:

$$[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \\ Y_4 \\ Y_5 \\ Y_6 \\ Y_7 \\ Y_8 \\ Y_9 \\ Y_{10} \\ Y_{11} \\ Y_{12} \\ Y_{13} \end{bmatrix} = 305 \quad (22)$$



### 3. Analysis and Results




Fig 1: An 11- iteration Branch and Bound diagram for long route bus scheduling problem in Group A

The various steps in obtaining optimal solution and the sub problems involved are depicted in a branch and bound diagram. Fig. 1 shows a branch and bound diagram for the long routes bus scheduling

Comment [SL20]: Replace routes with route's

problem in group A but with optimal solution at iteration 2. Similar network diagrams were also obtained for problems group B to F for the long routes problem with 1 iteration for group B, 3 iterations with optimal solution at iteration 2 for group C, 11 iterations with optimal solution at iteration 2 for group D, 1 iteration for group E and 7 iterations with optimal solution at iteration 2 for group F.

Table 2: Summary of Optimal Solution of Bus Schedule for Long Routes in Groups A to F

	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$	$X_6$	$X_7$	Z
A	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	6
B	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
C	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	44
D	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	20
E	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	14
F	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4

Table 2 summarizes the optimal solutions obtained for bus schedule of long routes in groups A to F. It shows the number of buses with respective shift patterns to be assigned to a particular group of routes. Specifically, a total of 6, 7, 44, 20, 14 and 4 buses are to be assigned to the routes in groups A, B, C, D, E and F, respectively. The values of  $X_1 \dots X_7$  for the various groups: A, B, C, D, E and F are the number of buses on respective off days. However, the short routes problem converges at one iteration with optimal solutions in Fig. 2.

¶ 10

<b>Z = 86,093</b>	$X_1 = 4$
$X_2 = 7$	$X_3 = 12$
$X_4 = 7$	$X_5 = 3$
$X_6 = 6$	$X_7 = 34$
$X_8 = 79$	$X_9 = 85$
$X_{10} = 6$	$X_{11} = 6$
$X_{12} = 5$	$X_{13} = 42$

Fig. 2: A one step Branch and Bound diagram for the short routes bus scheduling problem

Table 3: Optimal allocation of buses to short routes in AKTC, Uyo

	$Y_1$	$Y_2$	$Y_3$	$Y_4$	$Y_5$	$Y_6$	$Y_7$	$Y_8$	$Y_9$	$Y_{10}$	$Y_{11}$	$Y_{12}$	$Y_{13}$
Optimal Allocation	4	7	12	7	3	6	34	79	85	6	6	5	42
Prior Allocation	3	6	10	6	3	5	30	75	80	5	4	5	40
Deficit	1	1	2	1	0	1	4	4	5	1	2	0	2

Table 3 shows the optimal allocation, prior allocation and the deficit allocation of buses to the various short routes. The results imply that 4, 7, 12, 7, 3, 6, 34, 79, 85, 6, 6, 5 and 42 buses should be allocated to Benin, Enugu, Owerri, Warri, Bayelsa, Asaba, Umuahia, Calabar, Port Harcourt, Awka, Abakiliki, Onitsha and Aba routes, respectively to amass respective profit of ₦67,200.00, ₦44,800.00, ₦64,400.00, ₦53,200.00, ₦0, ₦53,200.00, ₦112,000.00, ₦84,000.00, ₦161,000.00, ₦42,700.00, ₦112,000.00, ₦0 and ₦30,800.00 representing 33.33%, 16.67%, 20%, 16.67%, 0%, 20%, 13.33%, 5.33%, 6.25%, 20%, 50%, 0% and 5% in Table 4. The results further show that the daily bus requirements for each short route has been met, exception of the Aba route, where the optimum allocation of 42 buses is less than the required 46 by 4. This situation, however, will have minimal impact on the overall profit of the company, as the Aba route has the least contribution to the profit from sales of ticket, also see Table 4.

Table 4: Routes and their respective Daily Allocations (Prior and Optimal), Per Ticket Price and Prior Ticket Collections

S/N	Routes	Daily Allocation (buses)			Per Ticket Price (tp) (₦)	Prior Ticket Collection ( $a_1 \times tp \times 14$ ) (₦) (p <sub>1</sub> )
		Prior Daily Allocation (a <sub>1</sub> )	Optimal Daily Allocation			
			Upper Limit (a <sub>2</sub> )	Lower Limit (a <sub>3</sub> )		
1	Long routes: Maza Maza	2	3	3	9,500.00	266000
2	Okota	2	3	3	9,500.00	266000
3	Ibadan	2	3	3	9,500.00	266000
4	Ajah	2	4	4	9,500.00	266000
5	Jos	3	4	4	10,500.00	441000
6	Ojuelegba	21	26	25	9,500.00	2793000
7	Jabi	9	14	11	10,000.00	1260000
8	Nyanya	6	8	8	10,000.00	840000

**Comment [SL21]:** How did you come about these profits? Did you subtract the expenses for each trip from ticket sales to declare these profits? Please state the expenses for each trip for clarity on these profits

**Comment [SL22]:** Replace has with have

9	Kaduna	2	4	2	10,500.00	294000
						<b>6692000</b>
10	Short Routes: Benin	3	4	4	4,800.00	201600
11	Enugu	6	7	7	3,200.00	268800
12	Owerri	10	12	12	2,300.00	322000
13	Warri	6	7	7	3,800.00	319200
14	Bayelsa	3	3	3	3,200.00	134400
15	Asaba	5	6	6	3,800.00	266000
16	Umuahia	30	34	34	2,000.00	840000
17	Calabar	75	79	79	1,500.00	1575000
18	Port Harcourt	80	85	85	2,300.00	2576000
19	Awka	5	6	6	3,050.00	213500
20	Abakiliki	4	6	6	4,000.00	224000
21	Onitsha	5	5	5	3,250.00	227500
22	Aba	40	42	42	1,100.00	616000
						<b>7784000</b>
	<b>Total</b>					<b>14476000</b>

Average revenue increase in naira and their corresponding percentage for both the long and short routes are obtained in Table 5.

Table 5: Calculation of average revenue increases in Naira (₦) and Percentages (%)

S/N	Routes	Optimal Ticket Collections (₦)		Increase (₦)		Average increase (₦) $p_6 = (p_4 + p_5)/2$	Average percentage(%) increase $p_7 = (p_6/p_1)*100$
		Upper Limit ( $a_2 \times tp \times 14$ )= ( $p_2$ )	Lower Limit ( $a_3 \times tp \times 14$ )= ( $p_3$ )	Upper Limit $p_4 = p_2 - p_1$	Lower Limit $p_5 = p_3 - p_1$		
1	Maza Maza	399000	399000	133000	133000	133000	50.00
2	Okota	399000	399000	133000	133000	133000	50.00
3	Ibadan	399000	399000	133000	133000	133000	50.00
4	Ajah	532000	532000	266000	266000	266000	100.00
5	Jos	588000	588000	147000	147000	147000	33.33
6	Ojuelegba	3458000	3325000	665000	532000	598500	21.43
7	Jabi	1960000	1540000	700000	280000	490000	38.89
8	Nyanya	1120000	1120000	280000	280000	280000	33.33
9	Kaduna	588000	294000	294000	0	147000	50.00
						<b>2327500</b>	<b>34.78</b>
10	Benin	268800	268800	67200	67200	67200	33.33
11	Enugu	313600	313600	44800	44800	44800	16.67
12	Owerri	386400	386400	64400	64400	64400	20.00
13	Warri	372400	372400	53200	53200	53200	16.67
14	Bayelsa	134400	134400	0	0	0	0.00

15	Asaba	319200	319200	53200	53200	53200	20.00
16	Umuahia	952000	952000	112000	112000	112000	13.33
17	Calabar	1659000	1659000	84000	84000	84000	5.33
18	Port Harcourt	2737000	2737000	161000	161000	161000	6.25
19	Awka	256200	256200	42700	42700	42700	20.00
20	Abakiliki	336000	336000	112000	112000	112000	50.00
21	Onitsha	227500	227500	0	0	0	0.00
22	Aba	646800	646800	30800	30800	30800	5.00
						<b>825300</b>	<b>10.60</b>
	<b>Total</b>					<b>3152800</b>	<b>21.78</b>

Table 6: Schedule Pattern for long routes problem in group A

S/N	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT
1	Off	On	Off	On **	On	Off *	On
2	On	Off	On	Off	Off **	On	Off *
3	On	Off	On	Off	Off **	On	Off *
4	On	Off	On	Off	Off **	On	Off *
5	Off	On	Off	On **	On	Off *	On
6	Off	On	Off	On **	On	Off *	On
Optimal	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

\* Indicates the start of an Off

\*\* Indicates the start of work for the next alternating week

Table 6 is a sample bus schedule pattern for long routes in group A. Data in Table 1 show daily allocation of 2 buses each to members of  $G_A$  : Maza Maza, Okota and Ibadan routes with daily requirement of 3 buses each as against an optimal allocation of 6 buses to each group member in order to satisfy the daily requirement in Table 2. Similarly, optimal allocation of buses were obtained for groups B to F as contained in Table 2.

Comment [SL23]: Replace were with was

The values of the decision variables in Table 2, for instance  $x_i = 0 \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 7$  imply that zero number of idle bus is associated with Tuesday-Thursday-Saturday, Monday-Wednesday-Friday, Sunday-Tuesday-Thursday, Saturday-Monday-Wednesday and Wednesday-Friday-Sunday off shifts, respectively and as a result no bus should be assigned to the Maza Maza, Okota and Ibadan routes. Also,  $x_j = 3 \text{ for } j = 5, 6$  implies that 3 idle buses is associated with Friday-Sunday-Tuesday and Thursday-Saturday-Monday off shifts, respectively and same should be assigned to these routes, and so on. This

Comment [SL24]: Replace bus with buses

Comment [SL25]: Replace is with are

arrangement would lead to an average increase in daily ticket collection for these routes by ₦133,000.00 which represents a 50% increase as shown in Table 5.

Similarly, data in Table 1 show that 2 and 3 buses were respectively allocated to Ajah and Jos routes with daily requirements of 4 buses as against an optimal allocation of 7 buses required daily for each of these routes to satisfy the daily requirement in Table 2. The values of  $X_i = 1 \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$  implies that one bus should be assigned to the Ajah and Jos routes on Tuesday-Thursday-Saturday, Monday-Wednesday-Friday, Sunday-Tuesday-Thursday, Saturday-Monday-Wednesday, Friday-Sunday-Tuesday, Thursday-Saturday-Monday and Wednesday-Friday-Sunday, respectively. This assignment would increase daily ticket collection by ₦266,000.00 and ₦147,000.00, representing 100% and 33.33% for the Ajah and Jos routes respectively as shown in Table 4. Also, the required allocation to Ojuelegba route in  $G_C$  is 25 buses daily but with actual allocation of 21 buses. This is against an optimal allocation of 44 buses required to satisfy the daily requirement as shown in Table 2. Also, the values of  $X_i = 6 \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  in Table 2 shows that 6 buses should be assigned to Tuesday-Thursday-Saturday, Monday-Wednesday-Friday, Sunday-Tuesday-Thursday, Saturday-Monday-Wednesday and Friday-Sunday-Tuesday off shift while  $X_j = 7 \forall j = 6, 7$  shows that 7 buses should be assigned to Thursday-Saturday-Monday and Wednesday-Friday-Sunday off shift, respectively to the Ojuelegba route. The daily ticket collection for the Ojuelegba route with this optimal allocation would increase by ₦598,500.00, representing 21.43% increase as shown in Table 5.

Actual bus allocation for the Jabi route in  $G_D$  is 9 in Table 1 with daily requirement need of 11 buses. However, the optimal is 20 buses to be able to satisfy the daily requirement of 11 buses. The values of the decision variables:  $X_i = 2 \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  in Table 2 implies that 2 buses should be assigned to Tuesday-Thursday-Saturday, Monday-Wednesday-Friday, Sunday-Tuesday-Thursday, Saturday-Monday-Wednesday and Friday-Sunday-Tuesday off shift while  $X_j = 5 \forall j = 6, 7$  shows that 5 buses should be assigned to Thursday-Saturday-Monday and Wednesday-Friday-Sunday off shift, respectively to the Jabi route. The daily ticket collection for this route under this optimal allocation would increase by ₦490,000.00, representing 38.89% increase as shown in Table 5.

In addition, actual bus allocation for the Nyanya route in  $G_E$  is 6 buses with daily requirement of 8 buses as shown in Table 1, whereas an optimal allocation of 14 buses is required to satisfy the daily requirement. Also, the values of the decision variables:  $X_i = 2 \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$  in Table 2 imply that 2 buses should be assigned to Tuesday-Thursday-Saturday, Monday-Wednesday-Friday, Sunday-Tuesday-

Thursday, Saturday-Monday-Wednesday, Friday-Sunday-Tuesday, Thursday-Saturday-Monday and Wednesday-Friday-Sunday off shift to Nyanya route. From Table 5, the daily ticket collection for this route would increase by ₦280,000.00, representing 33.33% increase under this optimal arrangement.

Finally for the Kaduna route in  $G_F$  the actual allocation is 2 with daily requirement of 2 in Table 1. However, an optimal allocation of 4 buses is required to satisfy its daily requirement. The decision variables' value:  $X_i = 0 \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 7$  in Table 2 implies that no bus should be assigned to Tuesday-Thursday-Saturday, Monday-Wednesday-Friday, Sunday-Tuesday-Thursday, Saturday-Monday-Wednesday and Wednesday-Friday-Sunday off shift to Kaduna route. Rather, 2 buses should be assigned to this route for Friday-Sunday-Tuesday and Thursday-Saturday-Monday off shift. From Table 5, this arrangement will increase the ticket collection by ₦147,000.00 representing 50% increase. Therefore, this propose bus scheduling system will yield an overall daily increase in ticket collection by ₦3,152,800.00 which represents a 21.78% increase.

**Comment [SL26]:** Replace propose with proposes

#### 4 Conclusion

In this work, a bus scheduling problem was considered with a study of AKTC, Uyo. The problem was formulated as integer program with branch and bound network diagram for long routes in group A as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The optimal solutions are presented in Tables 2, 3 and 5. These results show that, for long routes, an optimal allocation of 6, 7, 44, 20, 14 and 4 are to be made to the routes in groups **A, B, C, D, E** and **F**. For the short routes, it was shown that an optimal allocation of 4, 7, 12, 7, 3, 6, 34, 79, 85, 6, 6, 5 and 42 buses should be made to Benin, Enugu, Owerri, Warri, Bayelsa, Asaba, Umuahia, Calabar, Port Harcourt, Awka, Abakiliki, Onitsha and Aba travelling routes, respectively to maximize profit from ticket collections. These optimal solution would result in increased ticket sales on the long, short and overall routing by ₦2,327,500.00, ₦825,300.00 and ₦3,152,800.00 with corresponding percentages: 34.78%, 10.60% and 21.78% respectively, while meeting daily passenger demands for the various routes and ensuring proper bus allocation system. These results are in line with [6], [9], [10] and [16], among others who have shown that the use of integer program for vehicle, personnel and other scheduling problems yield efficient schedule, increase profit and overall turnover. Hence, the use of integer programming (IP) model is recommended for scheduling purposes in transport companies, hotels, security organizations and power distributing companies, among others. This would ensure optimal allocation of resources for increase turnover and optimum profit.

**COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:**

**Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests OR non-financial interests OR personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.**

## REFERENCES

- [1] Borndrofer R, Grotchel M, Pfetsch M. Can OR methods help public transportation systems break even? German Research Group Moves Industry Close to Elusive Goal. *OR/MS Today*. (2006); 33(2): 30 – 40. <http://www.informs.org>
- [2] Pinedo ML. *Scheduling: theory, algorithms, and systems*, 5<sup>th</sup> edition. 2016.
- [3] Hollis BL, Forbes MA, Douglas BE. Vehicle routing and crew scheduling for metropolitan mail distribution at Australia post. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 2006; 173: 133 – 150.
- [4] Mesquita M, Moz M, Paias A, Paixao J, Pato M, Repicto A. Solving Public Transit – Scheduling Problems. Centro de Investigacao Operational, Universidade de Lisboa. CIO Working Paper 1.2008.
- [5] Casanova H. *Introduction to scheduling theory*. University of Hawaii, Manoa. USA National Institute of Informatics, Japan. 2013.
- [6] Yuan W, Dongxiang Z, Lu H, Yang Y, Loo H. A data-driven and optimal bus scheduling model with time-dependent traffic and demand. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*. 2017; 99: 1-10. DOI: 10.1109/TITS.2016.2644725
- [7] Yan S, Chen H. A scheduling model and a solution algorithm for inter – city bus carriers. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*. 2002; 36(9): 805- 825.
- [8] Hesham A. Optimum workforce scheduling under the (14, 21) days-off timetable. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences*. 2002; 6(3): 191-199.
- [8] Wren A, Fores S, Kwan, A. A flexible system for scheduling drivers. *Journal of Scheduling*. 2003; 6: 437- 455.
- [9] Zuuhaimy I, Ang P. Integer programming approach in bus scheduling and collection optimization. *Jurnal Teknologi*. 2005; 43(C):1-14.
- [10] El-Quliti S, Al-Darrab. Off-day scheduling with hierarchical worker categories. *Journal of Operation Research*. 2009; 39: 484-495.
- [11] Fang Y, Hu X, Wu L, Miao Y. A Real-Time Scheduling Method for a Variable-Route Bus in a Community. In: Phillips-Wren, G., Jain, L.C., Nakamatsu, K., Howlett, R.J. (eds) *Advances in Intelligent Decision Technologies. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies*. 2010; 4: 239-247.

[12] Mohammad H, Amiruddin I, Ramez A. Bus scheduling model: a literature review. Regional Engineering Postgraduate Conference (EPC). Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, National University of Malaysia, Malaysia. 2011.

[13] Ibarra-Rojas O, Delgado F, Giesen R, Munoz J. Planning operation, and control of bus transport systems: a literature review. *Transportation Research Part B Methodological*. (2015);77:38-75.

[14] Niu H, Zhou X, Gao R. Train scheduling for minimizing passenger waiting time with time - dependent demand and skip-stop patterns: Non integer programming models with linear constraints. *Transportation Research Part B Methodological*. 2015; 76:117-135. DOI:10.1016/j.trb.2015.03.004.

[15] Mingming C, Huimin N. A model of bus scheduling problem with multiple duty types. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and society*. 2012;(2): Article ID 649213, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/649213>

[16] Udoh NS, Ekpenyong EJ. Integer Programming Approach to Nurse Scheduling Problem (NSP) in Hospital Management. *World Journal of Applied Science and Technology (WOJAST)*. (2021); 13(1): 87-97.

UNDER PEER REVIEW