

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_91098
Title of the Manuscript:	Improved Estimators of Population Coefficient of Variation under Simple Random Sampling
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Comments: 1. The choice of choosing the estimator coefficient of variation is not justified. 2. Some real Examples need to be given for choice of estimators. 3. In simulation study, the study variable and auxiliary variable should be mentioned? 4. The justification for choice of population be given for which suggested estimators will be efficient. 5. Authors should conclude for choice of a estimator also which a practitioner may freely use.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rina Shah
Department, University & Country	Sardar Patel University, India