

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_90811
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ENTRY REQUIREMENT, SECONDARY SCHOOL TYPE ON CUMULATIVE GRADE POINT AVERAGE IN TARABA STATE UNIVERSITY, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1.The article should be thoroughly revised for clarity and grammatical accuracy. In the present form, they are numerous grammatical errors which hamper its readability. 2.The abstract and conclusion should be improved to present the core contributions of the work and highlight the case study taken into account. 3.The Introduction section needs improvement. The authors should add the following subsections: Motivation to do the work, Main contributions (need to elaborate on the innovation). 4. Authors should use Microsoft word equation editor to write the equation and not copy and paste. Also number the equation. 5. The literature review in the work has no gap stated. Also, should state the gap in each of the work reviewed in the literature. 6. There is no enough discussion on the results obtained. In the section 3. 7. The conclusion is intended to help the reader understand why your research should matter to them after they have finished reading the paper. State your conclusions in clear, simple language.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yakub Saheed
Department, University & Country	Al-Hikmah University, Nigeria