

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_90811
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ENTRY REQUIREMENT, SECONDARY SCHOOL TYPE ON CUMULATIVE GRADE POINT AVERAGE IN TARABA STATE UNIVERSITY, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The following were observed 1. State the problem you want to solve, let us know what researchers have done on this area and what new thing do you want to contribute to it. That is, what informed this research? 2. You once said the work is multiple correlation, but you applied also simple correlation without stating it in methodology with the formula, if the multiple correlation formula was not given. 3. You did not use regression analysis, but the formula was seen in the discussion, if you actually used it, you have to states the formula in the methodology and talk about it in statement of problem and abstract. Then add it in discussion. 4. ANOVA was mentioned, how was it applied? No hypothesis?	
Minor REVISION comments	1. In the abstract, ensure all the methods used are mentioned. 2. state your response variable and the predictor variables clearly. 3. the citation Sojobi, Mabosanyinje, Ogunsanya and Sulaimon (2014) should be Sojobi, et al. (2014). 4. Butt & Omera (2013) was not cited but it is in reference.	
Optional/General comments	If all the above points are corrected, the paper will be a very interesting one.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onu, Obineke Henry
Department, University & Country	Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria