

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_89752
Title of the Manuscript:	Applications of Genetic Distances on Blood-group Gene Frequencies and their Statistical Genetic Similarities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The subject of the paper is uninteresting. The studies are in the field of basic research. The conclusions contribute to the substantiation of complex methodologies to be used in future research on this topic. The summary is clear and correct. The introduction is extensive and based on an extensive bibliography. The presentation of the results is brief, and their discussion was not done by comparison with data from the literature. There is no concordance between the bibliographic list and the citations in the text of the paper. Many authors are not found in the bibliographic list, but there are also works in the bibliographic list that are not cited in the text. Attention must also be paid to drafting. Some authors are quoted in capital letters, others in lower case.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I recommend the restoration of the bibliographic list, at least 17 citations from the text of the paper are not found in the bibliographic list, and 5 works from the bibliographic list are not cited in the text. It is also advisable to complete the discussion of the results.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Emilian Madosa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania</b>