

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_91932
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPROVING STUDENTS' SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS USING ARGUMENT-DRIVEN-INQUIRY (ADI) LABORATORY METHOD
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author should recast the abstract as indicated. He/she should give a robust introduction by defining the keywords and explaining the concepts of the keywords. The author should also introduce the topic clearly. Author should state the problem of the study, The Methodology of the research should be precise, adequate and to be logically arranged as commented in the manuscript revised. No Discussion of Findings. Author should discuss the findings of the results obtained. The Conclusion is not well written. Author did not state or indicate the result obtained from the main topic. Author should make recommendations based on the findings obtained from the results. Author should verify and make sure that all the references cited in the text should reflect in the reference list. Author should also abide with APA referencing style.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author should go through the corrections and effect. Author should be adequate and logical in his/she presentation of results. Author should revisit his/her research work carefully and to abide by all research guidelines.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grema Ali Dapshima
Department, University & Country	Umar Ibn Ibrahim El-Kanemi College of Education, Nigeria