

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_91904
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Radiological Hazard Indices from Mining Sites in Adamawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Is good, but you should take note that proper policies are on ground already. Review the abstract section. Your abstract should be in sentence format. Are there medical report from the 3 LGA that led to this investigation or to support your report?</p> <p>Introduction: Readers would love to know how long the mining activities in the three (3) local government areas in Adamawa State.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Good use of map, however it should be readable. Briefly explain further why secular equilibrium is very important in this study.</p> <p>Results: Very good use of tables and figure. However compare your studies majorly with mining sites from other localities in Table 5</p> <p>Discussion: Separate your discussion from conclusion section</p> <p>References: Employ the journal author's guide.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The samples should be increased to 30, or is this a preliminary report?</p> <p>Equation editors should be used where necessary.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Review more published studies from mining sites from Nigeria and beyond</p> <p>You may wish to acknowledge laboratory staff who assisted in carrying out the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nwaka, Benjamin Uchechukwu
Department, University & Country	The University of Nigeria, Nigeria