

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_91904
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Radiological Hazard Indices from Mining Sites in Adamawa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Since physical, chemical, and biological observations do not obey the Normal /Gaussian distribution, Non-parametric statistics should be utilized. Average/Mean and SD should not be used. Median and Range should be utilized. Determination of Radio-isotope activity should be performed as follows; i.e., 1 st observed value should be abandoned since machine is not working at first, then 2 nd , 3 rd , and 4 th observed values are obtained, and the Median value among three values is obtained finally (planning to be published by us).	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This is an interesting paper for me.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kou Hayakawa
Department, University & Country	National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, Japan