

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_91166
Title of the Manuscript:	Economically important wild mushroom of Mayurbhanj, Odisha, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting and important study. However, present draft could not be accepted in current state. However, may be reconsidered if the following are revised:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) Need to improve the overall English of whole document;</li> <li>(2) 'Abstract' section needs to be improved, suggest to highlight more study findings;</li> <li>(3) 'Introduction' section needs to be improved, content should focus on importance of wild mushroom globally and in India, cite relevant studies, include problem statement/gap(s), and rationale to conduct study;</li> <li>(4) 'Methodology' section needs to be improved, to include all relevant processes involved in the successful conduct of this study;</li> <li>(5) 'Results' section needs to be improved, to include more information e.g. origin of wild mushrooms i.e. name of forest; trend of wild mushroom available according to geography, month, seasonal; other analysis, etc;</li> <li>(6) Table 1 is listed for 'List of variety mushrooms and their description', but there is no description of mushroom. Therefore, suggest to include description;</li> <li>(7) 'Discussion' section needs to be improved, submitted content is more of reporting similar studies but limited discussion on results and findings. Therefore, suggest to discuss on findings i.e. the reported 10 types of wild mushroom, and the 'Future recommendation' section should be included and further expanded in 'Discussion' section;</li> <li>(8) To create and include a 'Conclusion' section.</li> <li>(9) Present results presented in Plate 1-5, does not reflect results and findings. Suggest to only insert photo relevant to study results &amp; findings, and explain all photos scientifically and relate to results.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lai Wei Hong</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute for Clinical Research, Malaysia</b>