

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_92395
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Injury Severity Score, Glasgow Coma Scale, and Revised Trauma Score in Predicting the Outcome in Young Patients with Trauma Attending Emergency Department of Suez Canal University Hospitals
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<u>01</u> "The ISS will be measured by the traumatologists in charge of the emergency department." Use verbs in past tense throughout the manuscript. The study was already conducted. <u>02</u> Approval from a local ethical committee was not obtained, which is worrying. <u>03</u> The use of ROC curves was not reported in the Materials and Methods section. <u>04</u> Most of the Discussion section consists of paragraphs beginning with a repetition of the results followed by the citation of the results of other studies, without an actual discussion of the findings of the study. In other words, a discussion of the findings is inexistent. <u>05</u> The limitations of the study were neither pointed out nor discussed.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bruno Chrcanovic
Department, University & Country	Malmö University, Sweden