

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_92296
Title of the Manuscript:	Impediments that Challenged Therapeutic Plasma Exchange: Experience at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) Conclusion can be a separate paragraph. It is written as a part of discussion.2) Ethical clearance: It is stated that ethical clearance was obtained in methods section. However, in the last paragraph on ethical clearance, it is stated that "this study was exempted from ethical clearance due to its retrospective and observational nature." Clarify this.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1) In 2nd paragraph of discussion, it is stated that "Fresh frozen plasma as a replacement was used more commonly than in other countries (29.1%)." Which study does this refer to?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Umarani M K
Department, University & Country	Ramaiah Medical College, India