

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_91523
Title of the Manuscript:	TREATMENT SEEKING BEHAVIOR OF PREGNANT WOMEN ABOUT MALARIA IN LAYYAH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Spelling, grammar and other typo corrections throughout the manuscript require SERIOUS revisions. Improve on the language</p> <p>Restructure the first sentence of results in the abstract, does not make sense.</p> <p>Results should have percentages of number of women based on the research findings and not the general statements.</p> <p>Objectives and Aim to be explained in the introduction. The author to refer to the format of the journal's manuscript.</p> <p>All abbreviations to be written in full at first mention (WHO, LWH, BHU and others)</p> <p>Under Exclusion Criteria:- If the study was conducted in 2012 and be reported 10 years later, can this be a true reflection of the current malaria treatment seeking behavior of women in the region? Does this compare with the introduction that focuses on latest malaria studies?</p> <p>All tables to have legends to explain them. Titles of the columns to be explained, they are not clear.</p> <p>What was the criteria to determine knowledge was "good", "satisfactory" or "poor"? Explain this in the results section. Replace the terms appropriately.</p> <p>Fill the missing gaps/information in ethical considerations and results.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Use standard reference style based on the journal format. Recheck that all references are included.</p> <p>Avoid unnecessary abbreviations and if necessary write in full at first mention</p> <p>Check spelling throughout the manuscript</p>	
Optional/General comments	Check other comments on the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Douglas Ogeri Ochora
Department, University & Country	Makerere University, Kenya