

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_91710
Title of the Manuscript:	Take the Test: An initial investigation on the common errors in multiple-choice questions in English quarterly assessments
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: It covers all aspects of the paper, but misses explicit reference to the research method (content analysis) and the tool selected (Checklist for Constructing Effective Multiple-choice Questions Items).</p> <p>Introduction: Author(s) have been quite effective in outstanding relevance of the study, gap and objective have also been clearly stated, too long paragraphs though</p> <p>Literature Review: There is need to check for paragraphing. Also, some other updated in-text citations could have been included.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: An interesting, well-described and replicable study.</p> <p>The section Results and Discussion is robust, no reference to similar studies though.</p> <p>Conclusions comprise main results, implications for good practice and further research suggestions.</p> <p>References look like some other updated references could have been included. Generally, references are not enough updated, only 4 within the last 10 years, and 2 within last 5 years. One reference is not in the text: Brown, G., & Abdulnabi, H. (2017) and viceversa: Bontis, 2009 and Downing, 2005 are two in-text citations that have not been included in the references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	This paper is well-written (language accurate), language style is impersonal, vocabulary is consistent but there is need for paragraphing improvements.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Mijares Nuñez
Department, University & Country	University of Pinar del Río, Cuba