

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_91372
Title of the Manuscript:	Onicha-Mbaise Igbo: A Step in Unveiling Constructional Patterns of Morphology
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset using cutting edge methodology. The paper is generally well written and structured. However, in my opinion the paper has some shortcomings in regards to some data analyses and discussions, and I feel this unique dataset has not been utilized to its full extent in the discussion part. Among the areas that need improving is work to provide better citations that will help place the current work in better context of the scientific progress over the past 20+ years. Additionally, better citations will help the authors to provide better and more accurate information regarding interactive multimedia.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In several instances I also suggested to cite more relevant and recent literature.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the information presented represents valuable information regarding the feasibility of multimedia and local language integration. Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant and theory based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The methods are generally appropriate. Overall, the results are clear.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aravind B R
Department, University & Country	Kalasalingam Academy of Research & Education, India