

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_91254
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPROVING THE READING COMPREHENSION SKILLS OF GRADE 5 PUPILS USING LOCALIZED READING SELECTIONS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Revisit the manuscript as regards tenses. Example on recommendation: The researcher suggested/recommended that It should be present tense (the researcher recommends that..) Do not cut the tables. Change the names of the stat tables. Example on mean and sd it should be Descriptives on the students' performance in experimental and control group. For the inferential table, it should be Mean comparison of the control and experimental group in pretest and posttest.	
Minor REVISION comments	Since no triangulation was observed, the results lack generalizability. Increase in posttest may not be solely attributed to the intervention. It is best that this should also be recommended to be included in the methodology for future researchers in the field. The normality test was not carried out/no discussion. It can be included to justify the use of parametric t-test.	
Optional/General comments	Follow the APA format in presenting statistical tables and relate the results with your RRL.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	The National Teachers College, Philippines