

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_87620
Title of the Manuscript:	UNGRAMMATICALITY IN SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL IGBO ESSAY: AN EXAMPLE OF INLAND GIRLS' SECONDARY SCHOOL ONITSHA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research gap should be explicitly articulated. What is the new knowledge that the article seeks to close? For example you could say that there is a dearth of literature about how and why code switching is done The findings should be presented as themes. That is you should analyse the data you presented and classify them in main ideas (themes). For example you can have the theme as 'code switching determining future tense' then what you presented as evidence are taken as supporting excerpts of the themes. The discussion should be a tripartite activity which includes; research findings, literature and the voice of the researcher. Thus for your discussions get some literature you presented in the background information and present it in your discussions to substantiate your viewpoints	
Minor REVISION comments	Some texts need language editing for example In this research, data are collected from conversations used by Ilocano students in Tumog Elementary School and Tumog National Agricultural School. The conversations were Be consistent when writing in-text citations [8], [9], [10]., versus [11]. [12]. [13]..	
Optional/General comments	The data generated is rich but need to be reorganised under some themes	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Davison Zireva
Department, University & Country	Morgenster Teachers College, Zimbabwe