

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_87619
Title of the Manuscript:	The morphological processes the users of social media apply unconsciously for creation of new expressions to fit their social communication needs
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Compulsory REVISION comments	This review article presents a topic discussing the process of morphology that the social media users apply unconsciously to create new expressions that fit their social communication needs. The title needs to be reconstructed. It should be more direct, concise, and precise. Author also needs to reconsider using the word social media in the title as he/she only discusses Facebook as the media being observed. If the paper is intended to present a review, it should contain a survey of previously published research on a topic and present an overview of current thinking on the theme. Moreover, methods of data collection and/or selection, methods of analysis, and how methodological choices were evaluated and justified should be elaborated in the methodology. Finally, a deeper discussion on the results needs to be carried on to provide the added value of the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments	The author needs to consider the notes given in the manuscript to revise both the contents and mechanical errors in the paper.	
Optional/General comments	Revisions need to be made based on the comments given in the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Diah Royani Meisani
Department, University & Country	Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia