

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_90961
Title of the Manuscript:	DIFFERENTIAL LEUKOCYTE RATIOS IN WOMEN WITH UNEXPLAINED RECURRENT IMPLANTATION FAILURES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**l a c k o f N o v e l t y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The review results of the Article entitled: Differential Leukocyte Ratios In Women With Unexplained Recurrent Implantation Failures</p> <p>I. Grammar, punctuation, or structure mistakes in the Article This paper has several errors in word writing, grammar/language structure, and punctuation, including the following:</p> <p>In the Abstract subsection</p> <p>(a) In the 1st row, in the sentence "Unexplained recurrent implantation failures is currently....", it seems that the verb is does not agree with the subject. Consider changing the verb "is" with "are"</p> <p>(b) In the 12st row, in the sentence "Results was presented as", the singular verb <i>was</i> does not appear to agree with the plural subject <i>Results</i>. Consider changing the verb form for subject-verb agreement (replace "was" with "were"</p> <p>(c) In the 16th row, in the sentence "..... supports the claim for a low", it seems that preposition use may be incorrect here. Consider replacing the word "for" with "of"</p> <p>The author only checks the correctness of the language, it is only abstract, and there are relatively many errors. Please the authors re-check the correctness of the language in the Introduction, and other subsections.</p> <p>II. Comments from reviewers on the writing format and content of the Article</p> <p>In the Abstract subsection</p> <p>(a) The In the abstract subsection, there should be continuity between the background sentence and the research objective. Do not use sentences that break the continuity between the background sentences and the research objectives. Please revise/perfect it.</p> <p>(b) The authors need to add the research method used (explicitly) in the Abstract section. Please add it. Abstract must be concise. Generally, the number of words is between 150 words up to a maximum of 200 words. Consider adjusting the word count in the Abstract in your manuscript.</p> <p>Writing Format of Manuscript Please adjust all writing formats in your manuscript by following the AJI (Asian Journal of Immunology) article writing standards. Thank you</p> <p>In the Key words subheading Consider replacing the words "Key words" with "Keywords". Also note that phrases or words in Keywords must have the correct comma and one space</p> <p>In the Introduction subsection</p> <p>(a) The gap analysis in your manuscript is not sufficient. Please perfect it.</p> <p>(b) The authors must complete a description of the previous related work in the</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>Introduction subsection and show that this work differs from previous related studies. So the authors show that their Article has the power and novelty of research that has never been studied before. Please add it</p> <p>In the Materials and Methods subsection The author needs to include a block diagram that contains the sequence of the research process carried out in the methodology subsection. Please add it.</p> <p>In the Data Analysis subheading (a) Generally, the main part of a scientific article consists of subsections/subtitles: Introduction, research methodology, results and discussion, and conclusion. Please adjust your article writing by following the standard article structure. (b) You must state what statistical tests you are using. (b) You must provide a description explaining the statistical test results. Explanation of the meaning of the statistical test results.</p> <p>In the Results subsection (a) Each table must be accompanied by a narrative/description (explanation or description in clear and detailed words) in body text. Please provide narration/description for Table 1 (b) The author should include a graphical representation of the research results in addition to the Table.</p> <p>In the Discussion subsection The discussion section should describe the result/outcome analysis that has been discussed in the Results subsection. Please complete it.</p> <p>In the Conclusion subsection In the Conclusion subsection, the authors not only describe the research findings but also provide research novelties and suggestions for further research. Please complete it.</p> <p>In the subtitle of References In the Conclusion subsection, the authors not only describe the research findings but also provide research novelties and suggestions for further research. Please complete it.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anthony Anggrawan
Department, University & Country	University of Bumgora, Indonesia