

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_92936
Title of the Manuscript:	Urban Sustainability and Management: Critical Evaluation of Garbage Collection and Disposal in Port Harcourt Municipality
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Interesting study, but the researcher should clearly tease out the study's problem predicated on previous empirical studies to identify what new knowledge it hopes to contribute.</p> <p>As it is, the introduction lacks a clear statement of problem and only states identified problems as elucidated by other scholars without a methodical discussion that arrives at a gap from previous studies on which the objectives of the study should be based.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The researcher did state that this was a sequential explanatory research but did not state how the quantitative aspect of the study's data was collected and analysed. Also, stating the time frames for the quantitative data collection and then the qualitative data collection will add more value to the reporting. As it is, it is hard to note how the qualitative data collected aids in further explaining and evaluating the results from the quantitative data collected in keeping with the principle of sequential explanatory research method. 2. An inclusion of the study's significance in the conclusion by way of stating the implication of the study for waste management authority, for example, will highlight the value of the findings. 3. Revise the reference section by following the APA referencing style. The title capitalisation and internet article references in particular require editing. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>A few edits have been noted as side comments in the article for the researcher's attention and guide for revision.</p> <p>Overall, I commend the written communication as it barely had typographical errors.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ogheneriere Ibodje Roberts
Department, University & Country	Institute for Policy Review and Development Strategy, Nigeria