

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_92924
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Adding Catfish Bone Flour to Catfish Meatballs Preference Level
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please check for the consistency of verb tenses used (abstract, methodology and other parts of the manuscript should be in past tense while other parts of the manuscript in present tense; in the discussion, please observe when to use present tense or past tense) and check sentence construction/grammar Indicate scientific name of "catfish" used Improve the results and discussion in terms of its presentation, sentence structure	
Minor REVISION comments	The abstract should provide some background about the study (situation or problem) Present a clearer experimental design Please check the reference format (title of the article) Please refer to the manuscript for my other comments and for the edited parts	
Optional/General comments	In the discussion, the limitations or weakness in the research may be addressed (why preference level and folding test only) Some analyses should have been done to determine the quality and safety levels of the catfish meat ball with fish bone flour (proximate composition, calcium, solubility, etc.)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rose T. Mueda
Department, University & Country	Institute of Fish Processing Technology, College of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of the Philippines, Philippines