

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_92469
Title of the Manuscript:	Trophic Status of Cikidang Pangandaran River Based on Chlorophyll-a Concentration
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.jurnalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. A good effort by the author(s) , there are certain observations which needs to addressed to enhance the study visibility . The suggested comments / observations are as under;</p> <p>b. I have slightly edited the study theme, which should be considered(pl see edited study theme as written on the attached manuscript). The suggested edited study theme is as under; “Chlorophyll Indicator Based Determination of Trophic Status of Cikidang Pangandaran – Indonesia</p> <p>c. Author(s) have not followed the standard research paper format which is as important as the research contents .. I have marked the same on the attached manuscript in track change format .</p> <p>d. Literature has been missed out , the same be added , please attached manuscript)</p> <p>e. Study objectives have been missed out and the readers will get confuse without knowing the objectives for writing this paper. The same are suggested to be written under paragraph – 3, as mentioned on the attached marked manuscript</p> <p>f. Methodology needs elaboration and I have tried to correct it . (please the same as marked on the attached manuscript).</p> <p>g. Paper was not formatted , which has not been done – please attached manuscript .</p> <p>h. Please give a set of precise recommendations . The suggested recommendations are as uner;</p> <p>1). Investigation of causes low levels of chlrophyl in the river , having water quality of iii levels.</p> <p>2). Measures to be undertaken for protection of watershed to control pollution , / contaminants discharge into river</p> <p>3). Suggested mitigation measeures .</p> <p>4). Role of national and local government to protect river health .</p> <p>5). Role of INGOs and NGOs for technical backstopping , while mitigating the pollution impacts</p> <p>6). Community/ farmer capacity building (watershed area) to guard against and to protect river health</p> <p>7). Further research to be unertaken on this subject</p> <p>2 . All deletions & additions have been highlighted with red and blue colour s respectively (pl see attached marked manuscript)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	These are OK , Preferably , reference sitting should be on the line of APA format. DOI persistent links to those references that have DOIs should be added	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Islam ul Haque
Department, University & Country	Pakistan