

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_90657
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Quality Suitability of Padjadjaran Retention Basin for Aquaculture in Sustainable Floating Pond
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Jack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is suggested to author to recheck and correct the grammatical mistakes. Mention the numbering of figures correctly (for ex. the graph of TDS is in fig. 4 but in text fig. 7 is written, same mistakes is done with D.O. and Nitrite. Write the symbols properly e.g. \square , CO ₂)	
Minor REVISION comments	Also add the picture of Station 1, 2 and 3.	
Optional/General comments	You can also apply some other statistical tool like standard deviation and standard error to explain and display the data more efficiently.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Namita Joshi
Department, University & Country	Gurukula Kangari Deemed to be University, India