

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_92785
Title of the Manuscript:	School Quality Assurance Guidelines: Status of implementation in private secondary schools in Sumbawanga Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. I find grammatical errors in some pages the manuscripts. For instance, refer to:</p> <p>(a) Page-2: refer to 10th line of second paragraph under Introduction: other developing country; it should be: other developing countries.</p> <p>(b) Page-3: refer to send sentence of third paragraph: there should be coma (,) mark after Framework (2017)</p> <p>(c) Refer to page-5: refer to third sentence of second paragraph: This sentence is wrongly constructed: Qualified teachers are important since are the once who facilitate students to acquire quality knowledge which can help them to master their environment and contribute to development of the community.....</p> <p>The entire manuscript needs to be thoroughly checked in order to ensure that it is error free.</p> <p>2. Page-6: First sentence under 4.1 Academic staff qualifications and teaching abilities: It says : In the first objective the researcher intended to describe...But I do not find, anywhere, objectives written as first or second objective.</p> <p>3. Page-7: This word is appearing twine at the end of Table-1: Sources: Field Data (2022).</p> <p>4. Page-7: first sentence in paragraph after Table-1:This has appeared twice =19.5 percent. It does not match with the data presented in Table-1.</p> <p>5. First word in title of tables: Correct word is: <i>Teachers'</i> and NOT <i>Teachers</i>.</p> <p>6. The term MOEVT, used in page-8, needs to be explained .</p> <p>7. Page-13: Refer to third sentence in paragraph after Table-8: It should be written as Learner centered teaching and NOT Leaner centered teaching.</p> <p>8. Headings are wrongly numbered: Refer to beginning of page-6: It should be: 4. Results and Discussion and NOT 5. Results and Discussion.</p> <p>9. Since the work is based on primary data, these two aspects needs to be highlighted under recommendations:</p> <p>a) Policy implications, and b) Implications for future research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Rationale for the study needs to be briefly presented.</p> <p>2. Objectives need to be outlined in more accurate terms.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1. Page-2: Second sentence under paragraph 3 (Julius Kambarage Nyerere, the first president): In research work, the practice not to name an individual. Instead, the author can choose write the sentence like this: The office of the President of Tanzania, during (during 1961-1985) had vision of an education.....</p> <p>2. If any funding was received for the work, it can be mentioned (before references). Also, I, as reviewer, suggest that name of the initiative as a part of which data for the work were collected be outlined.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santosh Kumar Mishra
Department, University & Country	S. N. D. T. Women's University, India