

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_92758</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF THE PRACTISING OF AN EVALUATION MODEL CENTERED ON A STRONG INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH IN CBA (Competence-Based Approach): CASE OF SECONDARY SCHOOL IN THE FRANCOPHONE SUB-SECTION IN CAMEROON</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 There is no coherence between the abstract and the content of the article, for example, addressing the conclusions that can be drawn from this research work.</li> <li>2 The result should have been evaluated and thoroughly compared with others. Use bar or pie graphs for comparative analysis of different entities. The selected different parameters for research are entities of this research.</li> <li>3 The introduction is supposed to introduce the problem to be solved in this article. Are there any existing solutions? Which is the best? What are its main limitations? What does the author hope to achieve? Properly address them in the introduction.</li> <li>4 In conclusion, should have summarize how the work advances the field from the present state of knowledge. The author should provide a clear justification for this work in conclusion section, and indicate uses and extensions if appropriate.</li> <li>5 The title of the research article is not as per the research paper. Fix them.</li> <li>6 The future plan could be mentioned.</li> <li>7 Further examination and adequate discussion about the results should be introduced.</li> <li>8 Please explain how objectives of the study were determined?</li> <li>9 In a result section, use different graphs to justify your examined data.</li> <li>10 The collected data is not shown anywhere in the research paper. Show and analyse the collected data and come to accurate conclusions.</li> <li>11 In some sentences, such words have been used that do not suit the research. Remove them and use research related words. The order of the paragraphs is also chaotic, keep them in order so that the reader can adjust them.</li> <li>12 The conclusion is also not in line with the research finding. Research finding is not visible anywhere.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	A complete reinterpretation is required, and certain statements require the use of acceptable terms rather than ambiguous ones. There are spelling mistakes in some places, correct them.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shyam R. Sihare</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam Government College, India</b>