

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_92105
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Graduate Studies Department Professors in Pedagogy: A Basis for Faculty Development Program
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																		
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs some editing. Please look at the scale use. If it is a 5 point scale, the class interval will be 0.8. please use below</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Scale</th> <th>Limits</th> <th>Verbal interpretation</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>4.20-5.00</td> <td>excellent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>3.40-4.19</td> <td>Very good</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>2.60-3.39</td> <td>good</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>1.80-2.59</td> <td>fair</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>1.00-1.79</td> <td>poor</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Scale	Limits	Verbal interpretation	5	4.20-5.00	excellent	4	3.40-4.19	Very good	3	2.60-3.39	good	2	1.80-2.59	fair	1	1.00-1.79	poor	
Scale	Limits	Verbal interpretation																		
5	4.20-5.00	excellent																		
4	3.40-4.19	Very good																		
3	2.60-3.39	good																		
2	1.80-2.59	fair																		
1	1.00-1.79	poor																		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments																				
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally, the research is good. The introduction is well organized from the global context to local down to the gap where the researchers stated the problem being investigated.																			

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The researchers failed to indicate on confidentiality of the responses taken from the respondents.</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ronald Ocampo
Department, University & Country	Apayao State College, Philippines