

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_91705
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTIVENESS OF MODULAR LEARNING APPROACH AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS DURING PANDEMIC IN ZAMBALES, PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	PART 1: Review Comments In the abstract , the goal of your research must be seen. You cannot just wake up and start making researches; you must have observed something frequently that you would like to understand. The introduction seems not to have been completed. In the section dealing with Results and Discussions, the Author needs to improve the structuring of the sentences and the use of punctuations, favouring at the same time short sentences. This will surely make easier the understanding of his manuscript. I don't think that the name of the authors cited must appear in the text; the use of numbers can be done for that purpose. Then in the references, those numbers can be respectively associated with the author indexed. This will have the advantage to lighten the manuscript. B careful with the way you introduce authors in your text.	
Minor REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment The results are pertinent. However, the author must improve the quality of the text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fopoussi Tuebue Jean Christophe
Department, University & Country	Jesus and Mary Secondary High School, Cameroon