

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_91373
Title of the Manuscript:	Reflections on the Design of Junior High School Science Homework Pointing to the Core Literacy under the Background of "Double Reduction"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. There is no methodology portion in the paper. The author did not mention or take any particular research philosophy and approach (i.e., Interpretivist, constructivist, positivist paradigm, qualitative or quantitative method). It is highly recommended that a methodology part be included in the paper where all aspects including research design, participants, data collection tools should be shown clearly.</p> <p>2. Research context should be well defined and clearly stated.</p> <p>3. Results section must be reordered and rewritten. Data should be presented in a lucid way.</p> <p>4. Discussion part should be elaborated more with some insights or recommendations.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Language use should be improved. Please take care about sentence structures a bit more.	
Optional/General comments	The subject matter of the article is substantially important. However, the research question(s) should be clearly articulated in the paper. Overall, the paper is good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arif Ahmed Zufi
Department, University & Country	University of East Anglia, The United Kingdom