

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_90616
Title of the Manuscript:	WATER QUALITY DOWNSTREAM OF CIMANUK RIVER, WEST JAVA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- Abstract should be completely rewritten, it must not include subtitles such as study design, methodology..... Methodology must be moved to other suitable place. Moreover, it must be free from conclusion.</p> <p>It must include a brief of your work, the main results and the aim of the work. The above is incomplete sentence</p> <p>2- Keywords must include water quality</p> <p>3- Introduction is very poor. It must include a summary of previous work related to this work.</p> <p>4- References are little and most of it are old</p> <p>5- Methodology have to be completely rewritten.</p> <p>6- The paper should include experimental work, materials, and apparatus</p> <p>7- The title of table 1 is wrong. (Table 1. Water quality downstream of the Cimanuk River), it is not water quality.</p> <p>8- Sec 3.1 to 3.10 should be omitted and rewritten within results and discussion</p> <p>9- Conclsion is very weak.</p> <p>The author should use at least 2 or 3 of the famous WQI models such as NSF, CCME, Oregon, dinius, bascaron,....etc.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muzher Mahdi Ibrahim Aldoury
Department, University & Country	Tikrit University, Iraq