

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_93187
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Group based Micro-financial services on Business Performance of Rural Based Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in Kagera Region Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION A good introduction contains the following elements: problem, justification, objective, definitions, and importance. I suggest clearly and objectively presenting the problem and the objective, which I did not identify in this section.</p> <p>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY The methodology responds precisely to the proposed study.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION In this section I proved analysis of the results, but did not identify discussion of the results. The aim of the discussion is to present the state of the art and validate the results. The low amount of citations and the style that was employed do not consider a discussion of good scientific quality. The topic of discussion should present the conclusions based on the results and validate them. I suggest reorganizing and re-making the discussion.</p> <p>CONCLUSION The first two paragraphs are not part of a conclusion. I suggest transmitting to another section of the text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	In general, the study contributes to the area of knowledge, but some adjustments need to be made for the article to be in conditions of publication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>The study has ethical requirements that allows to advance for publication.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josimar da Silva Freitas
Department, University & Country	University Center of the State of Pará (CESUPA), Brazil