

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_93067
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON PERFORMANCE OF DEVOLVED GOVERNANCE SYSTEM IN KENYA: CASE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE COUNTY OF KWALE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract need to be re-written to tighten the discussion. It has lots of unnecessary details.</p> <p>Citations are not made properly throughout the paper.</p> <p>Follow the standard procedure for using abbreviated terms.</p> <p>Introduction is too big. It needs to be synchronized with literature review.</p> <p>The paper needs thorough grammatical check as there are errors</p> <p>Problem statement, purpose, objectives, justifications, scope could be merged and could be presented in a more focussed way avoiding duplications</p> <p>Three theories presented in Literature Review has very loose connections and may be discarded.</p> <p>Literature review needs to be focussed towards 4 independent variables</p> <p>Research methodology section needs to be checked. It is a research paper, not a book. Confirm whether this is a theoretical or quantitative paper. Too much statistics may be avoided. The questionnaire and measurement of participation is not mentioned here. These section needs significant revision.</p> <p>The paper seems to be written as a project report. It needs to be styled like a journal paper as per the templates.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikhil Chandra Shil
Department, University & Country	East West University, Bangladesh