

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_93067
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION ON PERFORMANCE OF DEVOLVED GOVERNANCE SYSTEM IN KENYA: CASE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE COUNTY OF KWALE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Based on provided original research paper was an excellent attempt to highlight the public participation on devolved government system in Kenya. But unfortunately the article required major correction which are as follows</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract section must be changed with font of the sentence in adding of background objective, methods, result and conclusion part respectively in a sequence</li> <li>2. Introduction section must be rewritten with reference significantly</li> <li>3. Avoidance of the section like statement of the problem, purpose of the study, study objective and literature review etc which can be imposed in the introduction section as well</li> <li>4. Research finding, analysis and presentation section must be changed with result section with addition of different graphical presentation rather using only tabulation in a concrete pattern not descriptive style</li> <li>5. Summary of the study finding must include in the study highlight section</li> <li>6. Reference section must be follow either one style like Vancouver or Harvard in each section of this research paper which must be solved on emphasis basis</li> <li>7. The was significant missing of ethical clearance, authors contribution, funding, area of interest section</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The minor correction such as avoidance of number such as 1.0 INTRODUCTION, correction of grammatical errors, change the font size etc	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashek Elahi Noor
Department, University & Country	University of Dhaka, Bangladesh